Gerald Armstrong 715 Sir Francis Drake Boulevard San Anselmo, CA 94960 (415)456-8450In Propria Persona



SEP 18 1995

HOWARD HANSON MARIN COUNTY CLERK by J. Steele, Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

RECEIVED

FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN

SEP 1 8 1995

CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL,) a California not-for-profit religious corporation,

No. 157 680 HUB LAW OFFICES

9

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

Plaintiff,

STATEMENT OF DISPUTED AND

ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE

VS.

GERALD ARMSTRONG; MICHAEL WALTON; THE GERALD ARMSTRONG CORPORATION a California for-profit corporation; DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

UNDISPUTED FACTS IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY ADJUDICATION OF THE 13TH, 16TH, 17TH AND 19TH CAUSES OF ACTION OF SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

Defendants.

Date: 9/29/95 Time: 9:00 a.m.

Dept: One

Trial Date: Not Set

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

15

RESPONDING PARTY GERALD ARMSTRONG'S STATEMENT OF DISPUTED AND UNDISPUTED FACTS

Defendant Gerald Armstrong ("Armstrong") submits this separate statement in opposition to Plaintiff Church of Scientology International's ("Scientology") separate statement of undisputed facts with reference to supporting evidence pursuant to CCP Section 437c (b).

23 24

25

26

27

28

#### ISSUE NUMBER I:

CSI is entitled to summary Scientology's Claim: adjudication of the Thirteenth Cause of Action because there is no dispute that the parties entered into a written agreement, that the Church performed all of its obligations pursuant to the

agreement, that Armstrong breached the agreement by providing a videotaped interview to anti-Scientologists Sylvia "Spanky" Taylor and Jerry Whitfield in which he discussed his claimed Scientology knowledge and experiences, and that the Church is entitled to liquidated damaged of \$50,000.00 for this breach.

## PLAINTIFF CSI'S MATERIAL FACTS AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE

Gerald Armstrong

voluntarily entered into a

All Claims and Settlement

Church of Scientology

on December 6, 1986.

confidential Mutual Release of

Agreement ("Agreement") with

International ("the Church")

10

11

14

15

17

18

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

# DEFENDANT ARMSTRONG'S MATERIAL FACTS AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE

### Disputed.

Armstrong was the target of Scientology's "fair game" acts from the time he left Scientology until the time he signed the settlement agreement. These fair game acts included, but are not limited to: publishing "Suppressive Persons Declares," spying on him, assault, filing false charges with Los Angeles DA, filing false charges with FBI, attempted entrapment, illegal videotaping, battery by a car driven by a hired agent, attempting to involve him in a freeway "accident," filing

## Plaintiff's Evidence:

Request for Judicial Notice, Exhibit A, Verified Second Amended Complaint (hereinafter "Complaint"), ¶¶ 1 and 2; Request for Judicial Notice, Exhibit B, Answer of Gerald Armstrong and the Gerald Armstrong Corporation to Amended Complaint (hereinafter "Answer"), ¶¶ 1

and 2; Request for Judicial

false declarations,

Notice, Exhibit C, Order 1 2 Granting Summary Adjudication 3 of the Fourth and Sixth Causes of Action; Request for 5 Judicial Notice, Exhibit D, Opinion of the Second District 6 7 Court of Appeal; Request for Judicial Notice, Exhibit E, 8 9 Order Granting Summary 10 Adjudication of the Second and 11 Third Causes of Action of 12 Armstrong's cross-complaint; 13 Exhibit 1A, Mutual Release of 14 All Claims and Settlement 15 Agreement ("the Agreement"), 16 page 16; Exhibit 1B, 17 Declaration of Larry Heller, ¶¶ 4 and 5, Exhibit A thereto 18 19 and Exhibit B thereto, 1:19-20 2:10. 21 22 23 24

international dissemination of publications falsely accusing him of crimes ("black propaganda"), filing false contempt of court charges against him, disseminating "confidential" statements made in pastoral "counseling sessions."

### Defendant's Evidence

Exhibit 1, Declaration of Gerald Armstrong in Opposition to Motions for Summary Adjudication of 20th Cause of Action; and 13th, 16th, 17th & 19th Causes of Action of Second Amended Complaint, Authenticating Deposition Transcripts and Exhibits, 4:8-6:19, Exhibit 1(G), Declaration of Gerald Armstrong in Opposition to Scientology's Motion for Preliminary Injunction, executed March 16, 1992, and Authenticating Exhibits, 4:26-7:7; Exhibit 1(G)(C), "Penalties for Lower Conditions," Scientology

Page 3.

25

26

27

28

ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Policy Letter by L. Ron Hubbard dated October 18, 1967; Exhibit 1(G)(L), "Settlement Agreement" between attorney Michael J. Flynn and his clients in December, 1986, at p. 4, (5); Exhibit 1(G)(M) Letter from Phillip Rodriguez dated November 7, 1984 purporting to authorize eavesdropping on Gerry Armstrong and Michael J. Flynn; Exhibit 1(G)N, Public Announcement of Los Angeles Police Chief Daryl Gates dated April 23, 1985; Exhibit 1(G)(O), Letter from Los Angeles County Deputy District Attorney Robert N. Jörgenson to Scientology officials dated April 25, 1986; Exhibit 1(H), Declaration of Gerald Armstrong, executed January 13, 1994, and Authenticating Exhibits, pp. 2,3, ¶¶ 5,6; p. 14, ¶ 15; Exhibit, 1(H)(R)(C) "Freedom" published by Scientology April/May, 1985; Exhibit 1(H)(CC), "Squirrels,"

27

Scientology Office of Special Affairs Executive Directive dated September 20, 1984; Exhibit 1(B), Declaration of Gerald Armstrong, executed December 25, 1990, and Authenticating Exhibits, p. 1, ¶ 2; Exhibit 1(B)(O) Declaration of Gerald Armstrong, executed October 11, 1986, pp. 3-9, ¶¶ 3-8; Exhibit 1(B)(P), Declaration of Gerald Armstrong, executed November 1, 1986, 2:2-3:3, 6:4-7:5, 7:25-11:12; Exhibit pages to Ex. 1(B)(P) at 22:24-26:8; Exhibit 1(I) Declaration of Gerald Armstrong Executed August 12, 1994, and Authenticating Deposition Transcripts And Exhibits, Exhibit 1(I)(AA), Suppressive Person Declare Gerry Armstrong" dated February 18, 1982; Exhibit 1(I)(BB), "Suppressive Person Declare Gerry Armstrong" dated February 18, 1982, Revised April 22, 1982; Exhibit 1(A),

27

Declaration of Gerald Armstrong, executed March 15, 1990, and Authenticating Exhibits, p. 1, ¶ 1, Exhibit 1(A)(A), Memorandum of Decision dated June 20, 1984 in Scientology v. Armstrong, LA Superior Court No. C 420153, at 5:3-19; 7:9-12:9; Appendix thereto, pp. 1-15; Exhibit 1(C), Opinion of California Court of Appeal dated July 29, 1991, 283 Cal.Rptr. 917, at 920, 921, 925; Exhibit 1(A)(L), Affidavit of Gerald Armstrong, executed March 7, 1986, at p. 5,  $\P$  6; Exhibit 1(E)(E), Declaration of Gerald Armstrong Regarding Alleged "Taint" of Joseph A. Yanny executed September 3, 1991 and filed in Aznaran v. Scientology, US District Court, Central District of California, Case No. CV 88-1786 JMI, pp. 3-5, ¶¶ 13-16; Scientology's Request for Judicial Notice in Support of

24

25

26

27

28

its Motion for Summary Adjudication of the 13th, 16th, 17th and 19th Causes of Action of Second Amended Complaint, Exhibit 1(S) Declaration of Gerald Armstrong executed February 22, 1994 and filed in Scientology v. Steven Fishman, etc al., US District Court for the Central District of California, Case No. 91-6426 HLH (Tx), and exhibits thereto; Scientology's Evidence in Support of Motion for Summary Adjudication of the 20th Cause of Action of Second Amended Complaint, Exhibit 1(A), Mutual Release of All Claims and Settlement Agreement; Ex. 1(H), pp. 7,8, ¶ 12.

B. Armstrong's attorney
Michael Flynn was the target
of Scientology's fair game
from 1979 through the time of
the signing of the settlement
agreements. Fair game acts

against Flynn included, but are not limited to, infiltrating his office, paying known criminals to testify falsely against him, suing him and his office some fifteen times, framing him with the forgery of a \$2,000,000 check, and an international "black propaganda campaign." Defendant's Evidence Exhibit 10, Second Declaration of Gerald Armstrong in Opposition to Motion for Summary Adjudication of 13th, 16th, 17th and 19th Causes of Action of Scientology's Second Amended Complaint executed September 9, 1995, 8:18-9:14; Ex. 1, 6:20-7:7; Ex. 1(G), 9:6-24; Ex. 1(B), p. 1, ¶ 2, pp. 3,4, ¶8, pp. 5,5, ¶11; Ex. 1(B)(O), pp. 60-74; Ex. 1(H), pp. 8,9, ¶ 12; Exhibit 7, Declaration of Jonathan Atack in Opposition to Motions for Summary Adjudication of 20th Cause of Action; and 13th,

27

16th, 17th & 19th Causes of
Action of Second Amended
Complaint, and Authenticating
Exhibits, executed April 9,
1995, p. 4, ¶16; Ex. 1(G)(L),
pp. 4,5, (5); Ex. 1(G)(M); Ex.
1(G)(N); Ex. 1(G)(O), Ex.
1(H)(R)(C).

C. Flynn told Armstrong that if he didn't sign
Scientology's settlement agreement he would be the target of more fair game.

## <u>Defendant's Evidence</u>

Ex. 1, 9:1-15; Ex. 1(G), 9:6-12; Ex. 1(B), pp. 3,4, ¶ 8, p. 5, ¶ 11.

D. Flynn told Armstrong that the other some fifteen people involved in the "global settlement" would continue to be attacked by Scientology if he didn't sign.

### Defendant's Evidence

Ex. 1, pp. 8,9, ¶ 18; Ex. 1(G), 9:6-12, 10:21-11:28; Ex. 1(B), pp. 3,4, ¶ 8, p. 5, ¶

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

E. Flynn had another client		
yell at Armstrong when		
Armstrong objected to the		
language of the "agreement."		
Defendant's Evidence		
Ex. 1(G), 9:15-19; Ex. 1(B),		
p. 4, ¶ 8.		

F. Flynn stated in the "settlement agreement" with his clients, acknowledged by all his clients, that "he or his firms's members have been required to defend approximately 17 lawsuits and/or civil/criminal contempt actions instituted by the Church of Scientology against him, his associates and clients, that he and his family have been subjected to intense and prolonged harassment."

## Defendant's Evidence

Exhibit 10, 7:11-8:17;

Plaintiff's Ex. 1(C)(B) at p.
5.

G. Scientology knew of all of its acts of "fair game" against Flynn, and its acts of "fair game" against Armstrong. Scientology also knew prior to Armstrong's arrival in Los Angeles to "sign" the "settlement contract" that it had obtained Flynn's agreement to not represent or defend Armstrong if it attacked him after the "settlement." Scientology knew of all of its harassment of Flynn and its judicially condemned "fair game" policy and practices. Defendant's Evidence Ex. 10, 8:18-9:9; Ex. 1, 4:8-6:28; Ex. G, 4:26-7:14; Ex. 1(G)(L), pp. 4,5, (5); Ex. 1(G)(M); Ex. 1(G)(N); Ex. 1(G)(O), Ex. 1(H)(R)(C); Ex. H, pp. 2,3, ¶¶ 5,6; Exhibit B, p. 1,  $\P$  2; Ex. 1(B)(0), pp. 60-74 "Juggernaut Eval" re

28

p. 9, ¶ 14; Exhibit 2, p. 1, ¶

2, pp. 3,4,5 ¶8, ¶11; Exhibit

2-0, pp. 6, ¶ 6, 12; Ex. 7,

Flynn; Ex. 1, 5:5-7:7;

4

5

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

23

25

27

28

portion of a total sum paid to his attorney, Michael Flynn,

Armstrong received a

19 in settlement of all claims of

20 Mr. Flynn's clients.

21 Plaintiff's Evidence:

22 Complaint ¶13; Answer, ¶13;

Request for Judicial Notice,

24 Exhibit C, Order Granting

Summary Adjudication of the

26 Fourth and Sixth Causes of

Action; Exhibit 1A, Mutual

Release of All Claims and

Settlement Agreement, ¶3.

Page 12.

p. 4, ¶16; Ex. 1(H)CC); Ex.
1(A)(A), at 5:3-19; 7:9-12:9;
Appendix thereto, pp. 1-15;
Ex. 1(C); Exhibit 1(A)(L), at
p. 5, ¶ 6;.

H. Armstrong's careful
weighing of his options at the
time of the "settlement"
reflects the duress he was
under. It is not reflective
of an absence of duress.

Defendant's Evidence
Ex. 10, 9:14-16.

2. Disputed. The total sum paid to Flynn was additionally in consideration of settlement of all of Flynn's personal claims.

Defendant's Evidence
Plaintiff's Exhibit 1C(B).

1 3. Armstrong received 2 approximately \$800,000.00 from 3 Michael Flynn as his portion 4 of the total settlement sum 5 paid by CSI to Mr. Flynn for Flynn's settling clients. 7 Plaintiff's Evidence: 8 Exhibit 1C, Declaration 3. of Graham Berry, and Exhibit B 9 10 thereto; Exhibit 1D Marin 11 Independent Journal, November 11, 1992, article entitled, 12 "Is Money The Root of Our 13 Problems?" 14 15 16 4. On January 27, 1995, this 17 18 19

Court found that Armstrong had entered into the Agreement voluntarily, without duress, and that the liquidated damages provision contained in paragraph 7(d) of the Agreement was valid.

24

25

26

27

20

21

22

23

## Plaintiff's Evidence:

4. Request for Judicial
Notice, Exhibit C, Order
Granting Summary Adjudication
of the Fourth and Sixth Causes
of Action.

Page 13.

irrelevant. Scientology did
not know how much Armstrong
was receiving. As far as
Scientology knew, it could
have been \$0.00, it could have
been all of the funds
Scientology paid to Flynn, or
any monetary figure in
between.

Defendant's Evidence
Plaintiff's Exhibit 1A, p. 2,
¶3; Plaintiff's Exhibit 1C,
Exhibit B.

4. Disputed. On January 27 this Court stated as follows:

"As to all causes of action, defendant fails to raise a triable issue as to whether the liquidated damages provision is invalid.

Defendant relies on the law as it existed prior to July 1, 1978. (See United Sav. & Loan Assn. v. Reeder Dev. Corp. (1976) 57 Cal.App.3d 282 and earlier versions of Civ. Code §§ 1670 and 1671.) The law

ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

damages provisions are "valid unless the party seeking to invalidate the provision establishes that the provision was unreasonable under the circumstances existing at the time the contract was made." Civ. Code, § 1671, Subd.(b).) Defendant's evidence is not sufficient to raise a triable issue in that regard. Although defendant states in his declaration that he was not involved in negotiating the provision (See D's Ex. 1, ¶12), he goes on to state that he discussed the provision with two attorneys before signing the agreement. (Id., ¶¶12-13.) Thus he clearly knew of the provision yet chose to sign it. He has not shown that he had unequal bargaining power or that he made any efforts to bargain or negotiate with respect to the provision. (See H.S. Perlin Co. v. Morse Signals Devices

now presumes that liquidated

27

(1989) 209 Cal.App.3d 1289.) Defendant next states that plaintiff's actual damages are (D's Ex. 1, ¶12.) However, "the amount of damages actually suffered has no bearing on the validity of the liquidated damages provision..." (See Law Revision Commission comment to § 1671.) Finally defendant points to the fact that other settlement agreements contain a \$10,000 liquidated damages provision. (See D's Exs. 2C and 2D.) This alone is not sufficient to raise a triable issue in that defendant has not shown that circumstances did not change between 12/86 and 4/87 and that those settling parties stand in the same or similar position to defendant (i.e., that they were as high up in the organization and could cause as much damage by speaking out against plaintiff or that they have/had access to as much

27

26

27

28

information as defendant.) "Defendant has not raised a triable issue regarding duress. Defendant's own declaration shows that he carefully weighed his options. (See D's Ex. 1, ¶10.) certainly does not show that he did something against his will or had no reasonable alternative to succumbing." (See <u>In Re Marriage of Baltins</u> (1989) 212 Cal. App. 3D 66, 84.) In addition, Defendant is relying on the conduct of a third party (Flynn) to establish duress, yet he sets forth no fact or evidence in his separate statement showing that plaintiff had reason to know of the duress. See Leeper v. Beltrami (1959) 53 Cal.2d 195, 206" Defendant's Evidence Plaintiff's Request for Judicial Notice, Exhibit C.

5. On November 6, 1992,
Armstrong gave a videotaped

5. Undisputed.

Page 16.

- 1	
1	interview to Sylvia "Spanky"
2	Taylor and Jerry Whitfield, in
3	which he discussed his alleged
4	Scientology knowledge and
5	experiences for 95 minutes.
6	Plaintiff's Evidence:
7	5. Videotape, Exhibit 1TT to
8	Separate Statement in Support
9	of Motion for Summary
10	Adjudication of Twentieth
11	Cause of Action, separately
12	lodged; Transcript of Video,
13	Exhibit 1E.
14	
15	6. The venue for Armstrong's 6. Undisputed.
16	taped interview was a Los
17	Angeles hotel in which the
18	Cult Awareness Network ("CAN")
19	was holding its annual
20	meeting.
21	Plaintiff's Evidence:
22	6. Deposition of Gerald
23	Armstrong, Vol V, 598:6-
24	600:15, Exhibit 1F.
25	
26	7. During the course of 7. Undisputed.
27	Armstrong's 95 minutes
28	interview, Whitfield explained
	his purpose in making the

videotape to be the following: 2 MR. WHITFIELD: The reason I'm 3 saying this, it's very difficult for 4 somebody in Scientology to 5 conceive that the great L. Ron 6 Hubbard, whom you have never met but 7 have only heard these wonderful 8 things about, to even perceive or 9 comprehend that this might have been --10 this might have occurred with this man. How can this 11 man be human? And he's not human. 12 He's L. Ron Hubbard. 13 So the reason that we are doing this interview is so that 14 other people can 15 know. It's very easy for a non-16 Scientologist to understand those 17 things. It's very difficult for a 18 Scientologist, because 19 Scientologists don't get the type of 20 information that non-Scientologists 21 get. 22 Plaintiff's Evidence: 23 Videotape, Exhibit 1TT, Separately lodged: Exhibit 1E, 24 p. 9. 25 26 Undisputed. 8. 27 During the interview, 28 Taylor and Armstrong had the following exchange:

Page 18. ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUBMARY JUDGMENT

1	TAYLOR: We're
2	here with Gerry Armstrong on the 6th
3	of November, 1992. Hi, Gerry.
4	ARMSTRONG: Hi,
5	Spanky.
6	TAYLOR: Basically , what
7	we're doing here is I want to find out a
8	little bit about your Scientology
9	experience, or, more than a little bit
	as much as we can,
10	starting from when you got involved.
11	ARMSTRONG:
12	O.K.
13	TAYLOR: So, tell me about that
14	first.
15	TAYLOR: I got
16	involved in 1969 in Vancouver, British
17	Columbia, Canada. And I spent a
18	year and a half
19	Armstrong then proceeded to
20	describe his claimed
21	Scientology history in detail
22	for 95 minutes, breaking to
23	attend sessions of the CAN
24	convention which was
25	proceeding in the hotel
26	conference rooms.
27	Plaintiff's Evidence:
28	8. Videotape, Exhibit 1TT,
	separately lodged; Transcript,

1 Exhibit 1E; deposition of 2 Sylvia Taylor, 152:9-155:19, 3 Exhibit U. 4 5 During the interview, 9. Undisputed. 6 Armstrong stated: 7 They brought a lawsuit to attempt 8 to enforce the settlement 9 agreement. Out of it . . . in May of 10 this last year, there was a hearing 11 here in Los Angeles, in Superior Court, 12 in front Judge Sohigian. The 13 organization claims that they got a 14 great big win out of it and that I am 15 enjoined pursuant to the settlement 16 agreement. Not true! The judge 17 specifically said that he would not 18 enforce the settlement agreement 19 other than one very narrow issue. The 20 very narrow issue is that I cannot except 21 pursuant to a subpoena, assist 22 someone intending to file a claim or 23 pressing a claim against the 24 organization. Now then we are 25 appealing even that narrow ruling, 26 because that's unenforceable 27 because if you construe that my . . 28 .that this video

could possibly indirectly help

1 someone in the future, I can't do 2 this. . . . . 3 \* \* \* 4 It's unenforceable hence I feel that I 5 am completely at liberty to associate 6 with whomever I want, to talk to 7 whomever I want, and I act in life that 8 way. And that is in part why I am here 9 at this event now, why I came to the 10 CAN conference. Plaintiff's Evidence: 11 Videotape, Exhibit 1TT, 12 13 lodged separately; Transcript Exhibit 1E. 14 15 ADDITIONAL DISPUTED FACTS (RE SCIENTOLOGY'S ISSUE NO. 1) 16 17 Defendant's Evidence 18 9A. Armstrong attended the 9A. Ex. 10, 11:5-12-1; Exhibit 1992 CAN Conference because 19 20 this is a group a people who 10(A), videotape of Armstrong taken by Ingram, lodged 21 share a common experience with 22 him of either abuse by a separately. 23 dangerous cult or having a 24 family member ensnared in or abused by a dangerous cult. 25 He depends on people such as 26 27 CAN members for psychological 28 support and for defense.

Armstrong supports CAN in its

1	purposes of educating the
2	public about dangerous cults
3	and in its defense from those
4	cults such as Scientology
5	which seek to keep the public
6	uneducated about their
7	destructive practices and
8	natures. When he arrived at
9	the conference he observed
10	Eugene Ingram and a bunch of
11	Scientologists harassing,
12	taunting and videotaping CAN
13	conferees. Armstrong observed
14	Scientologists agents verbally
15	abuse the conferees, calling
16	them, for example, kidnappers
17	and criminals. Ingram taunted
18	Armstrong, accused him of
19	having AIDS, said Armstrong
20	looked like he was dying of
21	AIDS, said someone in his
22	attorney Ford Greene's family
23	had AIDS, insinuating in his
24	statement that Mr. Greene and
25	Armstrong were involved in
26	homosexual sex. This is part
27	of Scientology's "black
28	propaganda" campaign discussed
	by former Scientology

operative Garry Scarrf in his 1 2 declaration executed February 3 11, 1993 and filed in this 4 case. Armstrong was shocked 5 by Ingram's and Scientology's 6 attacks on him and on the 7 other innocent conferees, and 8 it was largely because of these attacks that he 9 10 determined to do whatever he could when called upon to 11 oppose and expose 12 13 Scientology's dangerous 14 practices and defend people 15 from those dangerous 16 practices. Thus he gave an 17 interview. Armstrong did not 18 come to the CAN Conference to harass Ingram and Scientology; 19 20 they came to the conference to

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

21

#### ISSUE NUMBER II:

harass him and his friends.

Scientology's Claim: CSI is entitled to summary adjudication of the Sixteenth Cause of Action because there is no dispute that the parties entered into a written agreement, that the Church performed all of its obligations pursuant to the agreement, that Armstrong breached the agreement by providing interviews to Charles Fleming, a reporter for Newsweek magazine,

1	in which he discussed his claimed a	Scientology knowledge and
2	experiences, and that the Church is	s entitled to liquidated damaged
3	of \$50,000.00 for this breach.	
4	CSI Incorporates herein Undisp	outed Facts and Evidentiary
5	Support nos. 1 to 4, supra.	
6		
7	PLAINTIFF CSI'S MATERIAL FACTS	DEFENDANT ARMSTRONG'S MATERIAL
8	AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	FACTS AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE
9		
10	10. In June, 1993, Armstrong	10. Undisputed.
11	gave an interview concerning	
12	his claimed Scientology	
13	knowledge and experiences to	
14	Charles Fleming, a reporter	
15	for <u>Newsweek</u> magazine.	
16	Plaintiff's Evidence:	
17	10. "Scientology in the	
18	Schools," <u>Newsweek</u> , June 14,	
19	1993, Exhibit 1G; Armstrong	
20	Depo., Vol. VI, pp. 736-737,	
21	Exhibit IH.	
22		
23	11. According to Mr.	11. Undisputed.
24	Fleming's article, Armstrong	
25	said, inter alia, that the	
26	founder of the Scientology	
27	religion, L. Ron Hubbard,	
28	wanted "rich Scientologists to	
	buy huge quantities of this	
	Page 24.	ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

book for distribution. 1 2 wanted to go down in history as a scientist or a 3 | 4 philosopher or both." 5 Plaintiff's Evidence: 11. Newsweek article, Exhibit 7 1G. 8 9 In or about June, 1993, Undisputed. 12. 12. 10 Armstrong spoke to Mr. 11 Fleming, about Larry Wollersheim's case against the 12 13 Church of Scientology of California, and attempted to 14 interest him in reporting on 15 that matter. 16 17 Plaintiff's Evidence: 12. Armstrong letter to 18 Goldowitz, June 30, 1993, 19 Exhibit 1I. 20 21 Disputed. The documents 22 13. In or about August, 1993, 13. 23 Armstrong sent Mr. Fleming a sent by Armstrong to Mr. Fleming were Scientology's own 24 letter to which he attached complaints against him. 25 several documents detailing details of Armstrong's his claimed Scientology 26 knowledge and experiences 27 knowledge and experiences, about Scientology in these urging Mr. Fleming to write 28

about Armstrong's litigation

Page 25.

documents were written by

ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

		<u> </u>
1	with CSI.	Scientology itself. The cases
2	Plaintiff's Evidence:	in which Scientology has sued
3	13. Armstrong letter to	Armstrong are in open court.
4	Fleming, August 20, 1993,	He is not barred from sending
5	Exhibit 1J.	any document filed in these
6		cases to anyone in the world.
7		He is not barred from talking
8		to the media about his cases.
9		Defendant's Evidence
10		Ex. 10, at 12:2-13;
11		Plaintiff's Evidence, Exhibit
12		1J, Armstrong letter to
13		Fleming.
14		
15	ADDITIONAL DISPUTED FACTS (RE SCI	ENTOLOGY'S ISSUE NO. II)
16		
17	13A. All of what Armstrong	13A. <u>Defendant's Evidence</u>
18	told Mr. Fleming of Newsweek	Ex. 10, 12:23-13:2.
19	about the Wollersheim case he	
20	learned after December, 1986.	
21	Even by the "settlement	
22	contract" Armstrong is not	
23	barred from discussing any	
24	knowledge he learned about	
25	Scientology after the	
26	"settlement."	
27		
28	13B. Armstrong believes that	13B. <u>Defendant's Evidence</u>
	he is not and cannot be barred	Ex. 10, 14:1-15:6; Ex. 1,

ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Page 26.

1 from discussing broad and 2 important public issues 3 involving Scientology such as 4 its efforts to infiltrate L. 5 Ron Hubbard's booklet "The Way 6 to Happiness" into public 7 schools. Armstrong views Scientology's efforts as 8 9 covert and dangerous and 10 should be opposed by anyone 11 who knows anything about this organization. Armstrong knows 12 13 that inside Scientology "The 14 Way to Happiness" is part of 15 its "scriptures," its "mental technology." Armstrong knows 16 17 that outside Scientology, the 18 organization calls the booklet 19 "non-religious." Armstrong 20 knows that it is used as a 21 vehicle to get people 22 interested in Scientology, 23 which claims to be a 24 "religion." Armstrong knows 25 that Scientology employs a similar bait and switch with 26 his fellow Christians. 27 28 Scientology promotes that it is compatible with

2:22-28, 25:15-26:24; Exhibit 2, Declaration of Hana Whitfield in Opposition to Motions for Summary Adjudication of 20th Cause of Action; and 13th, 16th, 17th & 19th Causes of Action of Second Amended Complaint, and Authenticating Exhibits, executed April 6, 1995, 12:23-16:21; Exhibit 2(B), "Routine 3 Heaven" Scientology Bulletin by L. Ron Hubbard dated May 11, 1963; Exhibit 2(C), "Resistive Cases Former Therapy" Scientology Bulletin by L. Ron Hubbard dated September 23, 1968; Exhibit 3, Declaration of Dennis Erlich in Opposition to Motions for Summary Adjudication of 20th Cause of Action; and 13th, 16th, 17th & 19th Causes of Action of Second Amended Complaint, and Authenticating Exhibits, executed April 6, 1995, 1:19-2:16; Exhibit 4, Declaration of Margery Wakefield in Opposition to

Christianity and 1 2 "Scientologists hold the Bible 3 as a holy work and have no 4 argument with the Christian 5 belief that Jesus Christ was the Savior of Mankind and the 6 7 Son of God." In its core, 8 however, Scientology teaches that Christ and God are 9 10 "implants," false ideas installed in humans millions 11 of years ago by pain and 12 electronics to enslave 13 14 mankind. Armstrong, a Christian, views it as 15 completely unfair and 16 17 dishonest that Scientology's "scriptures" (Way to 18 Happiness) are covertly 19 infiltrated into the public 20 21 schools as "non-religious," to act as recruiting devices for 22 the anti-Christian Scientology 23 cult, whereas the scriptures 24 25 of openly religious Christians are barred from public 26 27 classrooms. Religion in 28 public schools and the separation of church and state

Motions for Summary

Adjudication of 20th Cause of

Action; and 13th, 16th, 17th &

19th Causes of Action of

Second Amended Complaint, and

Authenticating Exhibits,

executed April 7, 1995;

Exhibit 4(A), Paper, "What

Christians Need to Know About

Scientology" by Margery

Wakefield.

1 are current and important 2 public issues, and Armstrong 3 believes he cannot be denied 4 the right to enter into 5 discussions, studies and reports on such issues. 6 7 Armstrong has a duty as a Christian and citizen to 8 9 oppose Scientology's 10 duplicitous efforts to subvert the school system and ensnare 11 the country's youth. 12 Armstrong knows that 13 14 Scientology promotes that its 15 mental technology raises IQ a point per hour of "auditing." 16 17 Armstrong sees that not only does not, but it makes its 18 adherents actually less 19 20 intelligent, as well as more 21 aggressive and antisocial.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

### ISSUE NUMBER III:

Scientology's Claim: CSI is entitled to summary adjudication of the Seventeenth Cause of Action because there is no dispute that the parties entered into a written agreement, that the Church performed all of its obligations pursuant to the agreement, that Armstrong breached the agreement by providing interviews and comments to E!TV, in which he discussed his claimed

1	Scientology knowledge and experiences, and that the Church is	
2	entitled to liquidated damaged of \$50,000.00 for this breach.	
3	CSI Incorporates herein Undisp	uted Facts and Evidentiary
4	Support nos. 1 to 4, supra.	
5		
6	PLAINTIFF CSI'S MATERIAL FACTS	DEFENDANT ARMSTRONG'S MATERIAL
7	AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	FACTS AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE
8		
9	14. In August, 1993,	14. Undisputed.
10	Armstrong was interviewed by	
11	E! TV reporters concerning his	
12	claimed Scientology knowledge	
13	and experiences.	
14	Plaintiff's Evidence:	
15	14. Portions of E! TV	
16	segment, Exhibit 1K; Portions	
17	of Transcript of E! TV	
18	segment, Exhibit 1L.	
19		
20	15. During the interview with	15. Undisputed.
21	E! TV reporters, Armstrong	
22	discussed his claimed	
23	Scientology knowledge and	
24	experiences, asserting that he	
25	had difficulty leaving	
26	Scientology, that the Church	
27	had a policy called "fair	
28	game," that the instant	
	lawsuit was improper, and the	

Plaintiff's Evidence:  15. Portions of E! TV
15. Portions of E! TV
segment, Exhibit 1K; Portions
of Transcript of E! TV
segments, Exhibit 1L.
16. Armstrong also gave E! TV 16. Undisputed.
a copy of a manuscript
entitled "One Hell of A
Story." Armstrong claims that
this manuscript is a treatment
for a screenplay which details
his claimed Scientology
experiences.
Plaintiff's Evidence:
16. Portions of E! TV
segment, Exhibit 1K; Portions
of Transcript of E! TV
segments Exhibit 1L; Armstrong
letter to Wollersheim, Exhibit
1M; Armstrong Depo., Vol. VII,
875-876, Exhibit 1N.
ADDITIONAL DISPUTED FACTS (RE SCIENTOLOGY'S ISSUE NO. III)
16A. By August 1993, when 16A. <u>Defendant's Evidence</u>
Armstrong was interviewed on Ex. 10, 16:4-17:3.
E!TV, Scientology had sued him

1	three times based on false
2	allegations, including false
3	statements about his pre-
4	settlement history, and had
5	published and disseminated
6	"dead agent" packs about him
7	and his history, and "black
8	propaganda" (Hubbard's term
9	for lies intended to destroy
10	someone's reputation) about
11	him, which included false
12	and/or perverse ad hominem
13	attacks. These attacks
14	include, but are not limited
15	to, e.g., that Armstrong is an
16	agent provocateur of the US
17	government; that he committed
18	perjury; that he posed nude in
19	a newspaper; that his defense
20	in his 1984 trial was a sham
21	and a fraud; that the LAPD
22	authorized [Scientology's]
23	illegal videotaping of him;
24	that he wanted to plant
25	fabricated documents in
26	Scientology files and tell the
27	IRS to conduct a raid; that he
28	wanted to plunder Scientology;
	that his motives in writing

1	attorney Eric Lieberman
2	regarding the Nothling case
3	were money and power; that he
4	was incompetent as a
5	researcher on the Hubbard
6	biography project; that he
7	wanted to orchestrate a coup
8	in which members of the US
9	Government would wrest control
10	of Scientology; that he was
11	formerly a heavy drug user;
12	that he was paid to provide
13	homosexual sex; and that he
14	had AIDS. None of these
15	charges relate to his alleged
16	breaching of Scientology's
17	evil "contract," but were
18	personal attacks on his
19	character and history, to
20	which he is not barred by the
21	"contract" from responding.
22	Scientology was also during
23	that period attempting to have
24	him jailed on false contempt
25	of court charges. Armstrong
26	wrote the treatment for a
27	movie to be done about his
28	Scientology history to clear
	his name in the most profound

1 manner he could, and he agreed to the E!TV interview for the 3 same purpose. 4 5 ISSUE NUMBER III: 6 7 Scientology's Claim: CSI is entitled to summary adjudication of the Nineteenth Cause of Action because there is no 8 9 dispute that the parties entered into a written agreement, that 10 the Church performed all of its obligations pursuant to the 11 agreement, that Armstrong breached the agreement by providing 12 declarations to Graham Berry, counsel for Uwe Geertz, in which he 13 discussed his claimed Scientology knowledge and experiences, and 14 that the Church is entitled to liquidated damaged of \$50,000.00 15 for this breach. CSI Incorporates herein Undisputed Facts and Evidentiary 16 17 Support nos. 1 to 4, supra. 18 19 PLAINTIFF CSI'S MATERIAL FACTS DEFENDANT ARMSTRONG'S MATERIAL FACTS AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE 20 AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE 21 17. Steven Fishman and Uwe Undisputed. 22 17. Geertz are defendants in an 23 action brought by the Church 24 of Scientology International 25 for defamation. 26 27 Plaintiff's Evidence:

17. Request for Judicial

Notice, Exhibit F, Complaint,

1 Church of Scientology 2 International v. Steven Fishman, etc al., United 3 4 States District Court for the Central District of 5 California, Case No. 91-6426 6 7 HLH (Tx). 8 9 18. In or about August 1992, Undisputed. 18. 10 Armstrong agreed to be an 11 "expert" witness for Fishman 12 and Geertz concerning his 13 claimed Scientology knowledge 14 and experiences. 15 Plaintiff's Evidence: 18. Defendant's list of 16 17 Proposed Witnesses, Exhibit 18 10; Defendant Uwe Geertz, Plaintiff's Brief Narrative 19 Statements Regarding Expected 20 21 Testimony of Expert Witnesses, Exhibit 1P. 22 23 Disputed. In or about January, 19. 24 Armstrong stated in the 1994, Armstrong spoke multiple 25 deposition excerpt Scientology times with Geertz's counsel, 26 cites: "I don't think beyond, 27 Graham Berry, concerning his very generally, if at all, claimed Scientology knowledge that is, if it was discussed and experiences.

ADMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Page 35.

1	Plaintiff's Evidence:	at all, whether the specifics
2	19. Armstrong Depo., Vol. VI-	of what I would testify to go
3	A, 782-789, Vol. VIII, 1057-	into, but I think that Mr.
4	1059, Exhibit 1Q.	Berry's understanding of my
5		history, and my present
6		involvement in litigation, and
7		what I've said about myself,
8		and my areas of expertise are
9		pretty well known and
10		accepted."
11		Defendant's Evidence
12		Ex. 10, 18:10-20; Plaintiff's
13		Exhibit 1Q at 788:13-20.
14		
15	20. Geertz attorney asked	20. Disputed.
16	Armstrong for help in	Nowhere in the deposition
17	identifying other potential	excerpt Scientology cites is
18	witnesses interested in making	there any mention by Armstrong
19	derogatory statements about	of being asked by anyone to
20	Scientology. Armstrong sent	identify potential witnesses
21	Berry a letter describing the	interested in making
22	claims made by several other	derogatory statements about
23	active anti-Scientologists.	anything.
24	Plaintiff's Evidence:	Defendant's Evidence
25	20. Armstrong Depo., Vol.	Plaintiff's Exhibit 1Q.
	20. Armstrong Depo., Vol.	
26	VIII, 1057-1059, Exhibit 1Q;	
26	VIII, 1057-1059, Exhibit 1Q;	

21. In 1994, Armstrong met
with Berry and a group of
other anti-Scientology
litigants and would-be
witnesses, at Berry's office.
wherein all discussed
Scientology, their claimed
knowledge and experiences, and
the Fishman case.
Plaintiff's Evidence:

21. Armstrong Depo., Vol. VI-A, 782-789, Exhibit 1Q.

21. Disputed.

Armstrong met with no anti-Scientology litigants and would-be witnesses at Berry's office. He met with three anti-"fair game" individuals who are honest-to-God witnesses. Nowhere in the deposition excerpt cited to by Scientology is there any statement that they discussed their claimed knowledge and experiences. Armstrong stated in deposition that the substance of the conversation at Mr. Berry's office "principally concerned the Fishman case, and that around that time Scientology had either dismissed the case or found something to dismiss the case or it was in that stage toward the end of the litigation. And the communications -- the only ones which stand out were on that subject.... There was a dismissal in progress .... and my recollection was that we

1		communicated about that during
2		the brief time I was there for
3		lunch."
4		<u>Defendant's Evidence</u>
5		Plaintiff's Exhibit 1Q, 784:7-
6		785:13.
7		
8	22. In February and April,	22. Undisputed.
9	1994, Armstrong furnished	
10	Berry with two declarations	
11	describing his claimed	
12	Scientology knowledge and	
13	experiences, one of which was	
14	filed in the <u>Fishman</u> case in	
15	February, 1994.	
16	Plaintiff's Evidence:	
17	22. Declaration of Gerald	
18	Armstrong dated February 22,	
19	1994, Exhibit 1S; Declaration	
20	of Gerald Armstrong dated	
21	April 21, 1994, Exhibit 1T;	
22	Armstrong Depo., Vol. VII,	
23	798-801, Vol. III, 1045-1046,	
24	Exhibit 1Q.	
25		
26	ADDITIONAL DISPUTED FACTS (RE	SCIENTOLOGY'S ISSUE NO. IV)
27		
28	23. Armstrong's being an	23. <u>Defendant's Evidence</u>
	expert witness in the Fishman	Ex. 10, 17:18-18:9;
	Page 38.	ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

case is allowed by the preliminary injunction issued by Judge Ronald Sohigian in this case in May, 1992. Scientology required by its "contract" that Armstrong avoid service of subpoenas, Judge Sohigian permits him to be reasonably available for such service. Where Scientology's "contract" required that Armstrong not assist or cooperate with any person adverse to Scientology in any proceeding and not cooperate in any manner with any organization aligned against Scientology, Judge Sohigian permitted him to assist any organization in any manner and any person defending against Scientology in any manner; and he required only that Armstrong not assist persons prosecuting or intending to prosecute claims against Scientology, unless pursuant to subpoena. Steven Fishman and Uwe Geertz were

Scientology's request for judicial notice in support of motion for summary adjudication of the 20th cause of action of plaintiff's second amended complaint, Exhibit P, Minute Order, at p. 2.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1 defendants against 2 Scientology, not claimants. Armstrong would have made 3 himself available for service 5 of subpoena before he assisted 6 any claimant. 7 8 Defendant's Evidence 9 24. Graham Berry is a 24. specialist expert attorney in Ex. 10, 18:20-25; 10 Scientology litigation. He is Scientology's Evidence Exhibit 11 one of Scientology's major 1C, declaration of Graham E. 12 Berry to all evidence filed 13 "fair game" targets. He has 14 represented several people herein May 7, 1992. 15 against the Scientology organization. He also 16 17 represented Joseph A. Yanny as 18 intervenor and amicus curiae 19 in this case. 20 Defendant's Evidence 21 25. Graham Berry knows 25. Ex. 10, 18:28-19:4; 22 Armstrong's history and areas Scientology's Exhibit 1P. of Scientology expertise in 23 such detail Armstrong has not 24 had to tell him anything for 25 him to know what Armstrong 26 27 would testify about as an expert; and Armstrong did not 28 personally provide Mr. Berry

Page 40.

1	with any of the information he	
2	included in his brief	
3	narrative regarding	
4	Armstrong's expected	
5	testimony.	
6		
7	26. Armstrong's January 27,	26. <u>Defendant's Evidence</u>
8	1994 letter to Graham Berry,	Ex. 10, 19:6-11.
9	contains none of his	
10	experiences in Scientology or	
11	knowledge gained in	
12	Scientology.	
13		
14	27. Armstrong's declarations	27. <u>Defendant's Evidence</u>
15	of February 22, 1994 and April	Ex. 10, 20:28-21:8; Exhibit
16	24, 1994 are in direct	1(0), Declaration of David
17	response to post-"settlement"	Miscavige, executed February
18	actions taken by Scientology	8, 1994 and filed in
19	concerning him and his	Scientology v. Steven Fishman,
20	history.	<pre>supra.at 31:22-32:14.</pre>
21		
22	28. Armstrong's February 22,	28. <u>Defendant's Evidence</u>
23	1994 declaration was in direct	Ex. 10, 21:21-26; Ex. 1(P),
24	response to perjurious	31:22-32:14.
25	statements made about his	
26	history by Scientology supreme	
27	commander David Miscavige in	
28	Miscavige's declaration	
	executed February 8, 1994 and	

filed in Fishman. 1 2 3 29. Armstrong had not filed 29. <u>Defendant's Evidence</u> anything or made any statement Ex. 10, 21:26-28. in the Fishman case before 5 Miscavige made his statements 6 7 about Armstrong and his 8 history. 9 10 Miscavige states in his 30. 30. Defendant's Evidence 11 declaration that "In a police-Ex. 10, 21:28-22:2; Ex. 1(P), sanctioned investigation, 12 31:28-32:3. 13 Gerry Armstrong was captured on video tape acknowledging 14 15 his real motives, namely a plot to overthrow the Church 16 17 leadership and gain control of the Church." 18 19 Defendant's Evidence 31. There was no "police-31. 20 Ex. 10, 22:3-27; Scientology's 21 sanctioned investigation." 22 Miscavige's organization and Evidence, Exhibit S, Declaration of Gerald its head private investigator 23 Armstrong executed February 24 Eugene Ingram, who works 25 directly for Miscavige, paid a 22, 1994, and Exhibits corrupted Los Angeles Police thereto: Ex. S(B), "Find a 26 Better Basket;" Ex. S(C), 27 Department officer to sign a "Authorization" to wiretap and phony "authorization." When 28 eavesdrop upon Gerry Armstrong the fact of the phony

APMETRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Page 42.

authorization and illegal videotaping surfaced, LAPD Chief Daryl Gates issued a public announcement which stated:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

"It has come to my attention that a member of the L.A.P.D. very foolishly, without proper authorization and contrary to the policy of this Department, signed a letter to Eugene M. Ingram, believed to have been drafted by Ingram himself. letter purports to authorize Ingram to engage in electronic eavesdropping. The letter along with all the purported authorization, is invalid and is NOT from the Los Angeles Police Department.

and Michael Flynn from LAPD
Officer Phillip Rodriguez to
Eugene Ingram; Ex. S(D),
Public Announcement of LAPD
Chief Daryl Gates.

The Los Angeles

Police Department 1 2 has not cooperated 3 with Eugene Ingram. It will be a cold 4 5 day in hell when we do. 6 7 I have directed an official letter to 8 9 Ingram informing him that the letter 10 signed by Officer 11 12 Phillip Rodriguez dated November 7, 13 1984, and all other 14 letters of purported 15 authorizations 16 directed to him, 17 signed by any member 18 19 of the Los Angeles Police Department 20 are invalid and 21 unauthorized." 22 23 Defendant's Evidence 24 32. Chief Gates' public 32. announcement has been filed in Ex. 10, 22:28. 25 many Scientology cases, all of 26 27 which Miscavige oversees and

directs.

33. Miscavige's calling the 1 2 illegal videotape operation, 3 which he also oversaw and 4 directed, "police-sanctioned," 5 is perjury. The rest of his 6 statements about Armstrong, 7 the Breckenridge decision and 8 Armstrong's history are 9 likewise false. 10 11 34. Armstrong had every right 12 to respond to Miscavige's 13 false statements because they 14 occurred after the 1986 "settlement," and Armstrong is 15 16 not barred from responding to post-"settlement" statements. 17 18 19 35. Armstrong also had every 20 right to respond because Miscavige's statements are 21 22 perjurious and Armstrong is specifically permitted by the 23 24 Sohigian order to report such

Ex. 10, 22:28-23:3;
Scientology's Evidence, Ex. S,
Ex. S(B), Ex. S(C), Ex. S(D).

34. <u>Defendant's Evidence</u>
Ex. 10, 23:3-6; Scientology's
Evidence, Exhibit A.

Ex. 10, 23:6-9;

Scientology's request for judicial notice in support of motion for summary adjudication of the 20th cause of action of plaintiff's second amended complaint, exhibit P.

36. <u>Defendant's Evidence</u>

36. Armstrong sees that

criminal activity.

Page 45.

25

26

27

28

1 Miscavige considered his 2 statements about him so 3 indispensable in Miscavige's 4 prosecution of the Fishman 5 case that he was willing to 6 commit perjury to get them 7 before the Court. Armstrong 8 sees that his statements to 9 provide the truth correcting 10 Miscavige's perjury can be no 11 less indispensable in the case. Armstrong views it as 12 13 unfair, unamerican and 14 obstructive of justice to bind 15 someone with a contract by which he is unable to respond 16 to false charges made about 17 him in our courts of law. 18 Armstrong considers it an 19 outrage that the perjurer, 20 21 Miscavige, who operates all 22 Scientology litigation, now presses this Court to rip 23 Armstrong for \$50,000 for 24 telling the truth. 25 26 27 37. After Armstrong's

February 22, 1994 declaration

was filed in Fishman,

Ex. 10, 23:9-18.

37. <u>Defendant's Evidence</u>

Ex. 10, 23:19-21;

Scientology's evidence, Ex. T.

Page 46.

28

Scientology sought to have the declaration sealed. Thus
Armstrong wrote his April 24,
1994 declaration opposing the sealing.

38. Armstrong sees the goals of Scientology's efforts to seal files and documents such as his declarations are to generate confusion, give Scientology unwarranted opportunities to bring charges against its enemies, and to rewrite its criminal and antisocial history. Armstrong sees that these goals add up to obstruction of justice.

38. <u>Defendant's Evidence</u>

Ex. 10, 23:21-26; Exhibit

1(E), Gerald Armstrong's

Opposition to Motion to Seal

Record on Appeal, filed

October 15, 1991 in

Scientology v. Armstrong, Case

No. B 025920, B 038975, pp. 5
25.

39. Armstrong believes that
he had a right to oppose
Scientology's effort to seal
his declaration for his own
defense; and that he had a
right, as permitted by the
Sohigian order, to report
Scientology's criminal
activities; i.e., its

obstruction of justice.

39. Defendant's Evidence

Ex. 10, 23:26-28;

Scientology's request for

judicial notice in support of

motion for summary

adjudication of the 20th cause

of action of plaintiff's

second amended complaint,

Exhibit P.

1 40. Armstrong sees that the 2 authority to whom such 3 activities should be reported in that context was the 4 5 Federal Court Judge presiding 6 over the Fishman case; and 7 that is what Armstrong did through his April 24, 1994 8 9 declaration.

40. <u>Defendant's Evidence</u>
Ex. 10, 23:28-24:3.

10

ADDITIONAL DISPUTED FACTS (APPLICABLE TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION)

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

12

Armstrong's Claim: Scientology is not entitled to summary adjudication of the Thirteenth, Sixteenth, Seventeenth and Nineteenth Causes of Action because: 1. The liquidated damages provision was unreasonable under the circumstances existing at the time the "contract" was made; 2. Scientology had a completely overwhelming bargaining power at the time of the "settlement;" 3. Scientology's calculation of the liquidated damages is unfathomable; 4. Scientology obtained Armstrong's signature on the subject settlement document by duress; 5. Scientology obtained Armstrong's signature on the subject settlement document by fraud; 6. the settlement agreement is unfair, unreasonable, unconscionable and cannot be specifically performed; 7. Scientology's hands are unclean in this transaction and Scientology is therefore barred from obtaining the relief it seeks; 8. the settlement agreement and Scientology's enforcement thereof are obstructive of justice; 9. all of Armstrong's

experiences concerning which Scientology seeks to silence him are 1 2 religious in nature and the silencing of the expression of such 3 experiences by court order is completely barred by the First Amendment to the United States Constitution; 10. all of 4 5 Armstrong's activities which Scientology claims are violations of the subject agreement are religiously motivated and completely 6 protected by the First Amendment, and the Religious Freedom 7 8 Restoration Act of 1993; and, 11. before Armstrong made the video at the CAN Convention in which he discussed his experiences, 9 before Armstrong communicated to Newsweek, before Armstrong was 10 interviewed on E!TV, and before he communicated with attorney 11 Graham Berry and anyone else about the Fishman case, Scientology 12 13 had subjected him to post-"settlement "fair game," attacked him, put him in danger, and published its own version of his 14 experiences to which he was not barred in any way from responding. 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

## ARMSTRONG'S ISSUE NO. I

Armstrong's Claim: The liquidated damages provision was unreasonable under the circumstances existing at the time the contract was made.

Armstrong incorporates herein his Disputed Facts, Additional Facts and Evidentiary Support Nos. 1-40, supra.

23

24

25

41. Armstrong had been the target of Scientology's "fair game" attacks since 1982.

26 27

28

41. Ex. 1(A)(A)hibit 1-A,
Appendix pp. 13-15; Ex. 1(C)
at 920, 921, 925. Armstrong
specifically repeats and
includes herein his facts and
evidence in Nos. 1A-1H,

1 supra. 2 3 42. Armstrong had not 42. Ex. 10, 2:4,5. 4 subjected Scientology to "fair 5 game," and did not have a 6 policy or practice of "fair 7 game." 8 9 Scientology had Ex. 10, 2:8-11; Exhibit 43. 43. 10 contracted with Armstrong's 1(S), Ex parte application to 11 attorney, Michael Flynn, to continue hearing on motions for summary adjudication and 12 not represent him or defend him in the event Scientology declaration thereto executed 13 continued to attack Armstrong; April 7, 1995, at 4:26-6:23. 14 which it did. 15 16 The liquidated damages 17 44. 44. Ex. 10, 2:12-25; provision applied to over Plaintiff's Exhibit 1A. 18 19 seventeen years of Armstrong's 20 life, about which it was impossible for him to be 21 silent. On its face the 22 23 "settlement contract," does 24 not "permit" Armstrong to 25 communicate his experiences to a doctor, lawyer, girlfriend, 26 27 counselor, minister, or any

agency of the government; or

face a \$50,000 penalty.

1	45. Scientology was not	45. Ex. 10, 2:26-3:1.
2	intending to honor its promise	
3	to cease "fair game," but was	
4	intending to subject Armstrong	
5	and his friends to more "fair	
6	game," including publishing	
7	its own untrue and perverse	
8	accounts of Armstrong's	
9	history.	
10		
11	46. Immediately after the	46. Ex. 10, 3:1-3:5; 9:17-
12	"settlement," Scientology	10:16.
13	provided its account of	
14	Armstrong's history and	
15	documents concerning him to at	
16	least the Los Angeles Times,	
17	and shortly thereafter to at	
18	least the London Sunday Times.	
19	Scientology continued to	
20	attack Armstrong and subject	
21	him to more "fair game" after	
22	the settlement.	
23		
24	47. Scientology, by its	47. Ex. 10, 3:6-11.
25	"settlement contract," was	
26	going to maintain its action	
27	in the Court of Appeal against	
28	Armstrong after the	
	"settlement." Through	
	Page 51.	ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGME

1	Scientology's acts, known by				
2	Scientology and its lawyers at				
3	the time of the settlement,				
4	Armstrong's whole history				
5	contained in the trial record,				
6	became a public record.				
7					
8	48. Scientology maintaining	48.	Ex.	10,	3:6-16.
9	its appeal, coupled with its				
10	intention to continue to				
11	subject Armstrong to "fair				
12	game," kept the pot of				
13	controversy boiling.				
14					
15	49. Scientology had not been	19.	Ex.	10,	3:17-19.
16	damaged in any way monetarily				
17	by any statement Armstrong had				
18	made at any time prior to the				
19	"settlement."				
20					
21	50. There was and is no	50.	Ex.	10,	3:19-21.
22	relationship between actual				
23	damages sustained by				
24	Scientology and the amount of				
25	the liquidated damages.				
26					
27	51. All the money Scientology 5	51.	Ex.	10,	3:21-24.
28	has spent on litigation				
	concerning Armstrong has been				
	Page 52.	armstro	ng's si	EPARATE	STATEMENT RE SUMM

,						
1						
2						
3	basic human and civil rights,					
4	not on "repairing" "damage" he					
5	has done.					
6						
7	52. The unreasonableness of	2.	Ex.	10,	3:25-4	:3.
8	the liquidated damages					
9	provision is clearly					
10	demonstrated by the way					
11	Michael Flynn dealt with it.					
12	When Armstrong protested the					
13	unreasonableness and the					
14	impossibility of being silent					
15	about his seventeen years of					
16	experiences, Flynn said, "It's					
17	not worth the paper it's					
18	printed on;" "it's					
19	unenforceable." Flynn also					
20	said that "Scientology won't					
21	change it." For that reason					
22	and that reason alone there					
23	was no discussion of the					
24	liquidated damages provision					
25	beyond that point.					
26						
27	53. Armstrong saw the 5	3. I	Ex.	10,	4:3-9.	
28	liquidated damages provision					
	at the time of the					
	Page 53.	emstron	9'S SI	PARATE	STATEMENT I	e sugary

```
"settlement" as stupid, cruel
 2
    and diabolic. Flynn said
    "It's not worth the paper it's
 3
 4
    printed on; " but "Scientology
 5
    won't change it. " Armstrong
    was left with only one option:
 6
 7
    if Scientology wants to keep
    the stupid, cruel and diabolic
 8
    clauses in its enforceable
    "contract," so be it.
10
11
    54. Michael Flynn continues
                                        54. Ex. 10, 4:10-14.
12
    to say the liquidated damages
13
    provision is evil and
14
    unenforceable; but is afraid
15
    of Scientology's revenge if he
16
    comes forward to so state.
17
    Armstrong has called or
18
    written to Flynn on dozens of
19
    occasions to request him to
20
    put this statement and the
21
    underlying facts in a
22
    declaration. Without
23
    Scientology's release of him
24
25
    to help, he will not come
    forward.
26
27
                                             Ex. 10, 6:9-14; Exhibit
    55. Nancy Rodes, another
                                        55.
28
                                        1(AA), Excerpts from
    Flynn client in the 1986
```

Page 54.

1	"global settlement," signed a	transcript of deposition of					
2	"settlement contract" which	Nancy Rodes, taken herein					
3	also includes a \$50,000	August 30, 1994, and "mutual					
4	liquidated damages provision.	release agreement," at p. 4, ¶					
5		6D.					
6							
7	56. Ms. Rodes testified that	56. Ex. 1(AA), 35:7-14.					
8	she was paid \$7,500.00 in						
9	settlement of her claim.						
10							
11	57. Ms. Rodes testified	57. Ex. 1(AA), 38:18,19.					
12	concerning the condition						
13	prohibiting her talking about						
14	her life that she had been						
15	told by Flynn that "he didn't						
16	feel that that aspect of the						
17	Agreement would stand up."						
18							
19	58. Ms. Rodes testified that	58. Ex. 1(AA), 64:24-65:1.					
20	she had been told by Flynn						
21	that the "settlement						
22	agreement" is "not really						
23	enforceableno legal						
24	document can really take away						
25	your rights."						
26							
27	59. Ms. Rodes testified that	59. Ex. 1(AA), 66:14-20.					
28	Flynn "gave [her] the						
	understanding that the clause						
	Page 55.	ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SURGARY JUDGMENT					

1	which prevented [her] from	
2	discussing or communicating	
3	[her] experience in	
4	Scientology would not be	
5	enforceable."	
6		
7	60. Ms. Rodes testified that	60. Ex. 1(AA) 74:1-6.
8	in her decision to sign she	
9	relied "to a fairly large	
10	extent" on Flynn's telling her	
11	that he thought the provisions	
12	with respect to maintaining	
13	silence were not enforceable.	
14		
15	61. Ms. Rodes testified that	61. Ex. 1(AA), 73:1,2.
16	since the "settlement" she has	
17	"discussed [her] experiences	
18	in Scientology with friends	
19	and people [she is] close to.	
20		
21	62. Ms. Rodes testified that	62. Ex. 1(AA), 65:18-19.
22	she "didn't have so much to	
23	say, so much knowledge."	
24		
25	63. Michael Douglas, another	63. Exhibit 1(Z) Excerpts
26	Flynn client, testified in	from transcript of deposition
27	this case that he executed an	of Michael Douglas, taken
28	"agreement" like that of Nancy	herein August 30 and September
	Rodes and was paid \$7,500.00	2, 1994, at 54:12-24.
	Page 56.	ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

1	as part of the 1986 "global	
2	settlement."	
3		
4	64. Mr. Douglas testified	64. Ex. 1(Z), 92:15-23.
5	that his "settlement contract"	
6	also contained a \$50,000	
7	liquidated damages provision.	
8		
9	65. Scientology's Exhibit	65. Ex. 10 at 7:11-8:3;
10	1(C)-B is a "settlement	Scientology's Exhibit 1(C)-B
11	agreement" prepared by Michael	"settlement agreement" at p.
12	Flynn and involving him and	4.
13	his "settling" clients. At	
14	page 4 it states: "[W]e	
15	acknowledge that many of the	
16	cases/clients involved in this	
17	settlement have been in	
18	litigation against the Church	
19	of Scientology for more than	
20	six to seven years, that many	
21	have been subjected to	
22	intense, and prolonged	
23	harassment by the Church of	
24	Scientology throughout the	
25	litigation, and that the value	4.4
26	of the respective claims	
27	stated therein is measured in	
28	part by the (a) length and	
	degree of harassment; (b)	
11		

length and degree of
involvement in the litigation;
(c) the individual nature of
each respective claim in
connection with either their
involvement with the Church of
Scientology as a member and/or
as a litigant; (d) the unique
value of each case/client
based on a variety of things
including, but not limited to,
the current procedural posture
of a case, specific facts
unique to each case, and
financial, emotional or
consequential damage in each
case." The "settlement
agreement" involving Flynn and
his clients does not anywhere
state that the amount paid to
the various "settling" parties
by Scientology was related to
the rights they were "giving
up" by signing Scientology's
"settlement agreement," nor
how much damage each person
could cause by speaking out
against Scientology.

1	66. Before the 1986
2	"settlement" Armstrong had
3	been subjected to intense, and
4	prolonged harassment by the
5	Church of Scientology
6	throughout the litigation, and
7	had been severely damaged
8	emotionally by Scientology's
9	intense and prolonged
10	harassment. Scientology paid
11	him to dismiss his lawsuit
12	concerning its years of
13	harassment which resulted in
14	my emotional damage.
15	Scientology did not pay
16	Armstrong to be able to
17	subject him to further intense
18	and prolonged harassment and
19	further emotional damage.
20	Armstrong believes that
21	because of Scientology's
22	intense and prolonged
23	harassment before the
24	"settlement," and because of
25	the emotional damage it
26	inflicted, it owed him a duty
27	to be extra careful not to
28	subject him to any further

66. Ex. 10 at 8:3-17;
Scientology's Exhibit 1(C)-B
p. 4. Armstrong specifically
repeats and includes herein
his facts and evidence in
Nos. 1A-1H, supra.

harassment and any further

1	emotional damage.
2	Scientology's duty is
3	reflected in its promise to
4	cease all "fair game"
5	activities as an inducement to
6	"settle" Armstrong's lawsuit.
7	
8	ARMSTRONG'S ISSUE NO. II
9	Armstrong's Claim: Scientology had a completely overwhelming
10	bargaining power at the time of the "settlement;"
11	Armstrong incorporates herein his Disputed Fact and
12	Evidentiary Support Nos. 1-66 supra.
13	
14	67. Armstrong had an utterly 67. Ex. 10 at 4:19-22.
15	unequal bargaining power at
16	the time of the "settlement,"
17	and yet made a sincere effort
18	to address the provision and
19	negotiate.
20	
21	68. Armstrong was positioned 68. Ex. 10 at 4:22-5:3.
22	by Flynn and Scientology as a
23	"deal breaker." He was flown
24	to Los Angeles from Boston
25	without seeing one word of the
26	"settlement contract." He was
27	flown to Los Angeles to "sign"
28	after Flynn's other clients
	had been brought to Iog

1	Angeles. He was told by Flynn					
2	that there would be no deal					
3	for anyone unless he signed.					
4	He was told by Flynn that					
5	Scientology would continue to					
6	subject him, all Flynn's other					
7	clients, and himself to "fair					
8	game" unless Armstrong signed.					
9	Armstrong was told by Flynn					
10	that Scientology was promising					
11	to cease "fair game" against					
12	everyone, and that the					
13	cessation of "fair game"					
14	depended on Armstrong's					
15	signing.					
16						
17	69. Armstrong estimates that	69.	Ex.	10	at	5:3-5.
18	Scientology at the time of the					
19	"settlement" had a net worth					
20	estimated at \$500,000,000.					
21	Armstrong had a net worth of					
22	zero.					
23						
24	70. Prior to Armstrong's	70.	Ex.	10	at	5:5-11.
25	arriving in Los Angeles					
26	Scientology had already got					
27	Flynn to agree to sign a					
28	contract to not represent or					
	assist him if Scientology					

Page 61.

1	1 attacked him after the			
2	2 "settlement." Flynn's co-			
3	counsel in Armstrong's case,			
4	4 Julia Dragojevic, was not			
5	5 representing his interests,			
6	6 but was going along with			
7	7 whatever deal Flynn obtained			
8	8 from Scientology. Armstrong			
9	9 was essentially without an			
10	0 attorney representing his			
11	1 interests and broke.			
12	2			
13	71. Scientology had millions 71. Ex	. 10	at	5:12-14.
14	4 of dollars, a formidable			
15	5 litigation machine in-place			
16	and operating, and Armstrong's			
17	own attorney intimidated and			
18	8 compromised.			
19	9			
20	0 72. Nevertheless Armstrong 72. Ex	. 10	at	5:14-16.
21	objected to the liquidated			
22	2 damages provision and			
23	attempted to negotiate, only			
24	4 to be told by Flynn that "it's			
25	5 not worth the paper it's			
26	6 printed on."			
27	7			
28	8 73. Flynn statement that 73. Ex	. 10	at	5:16-22.
	"it's not worth the paper it's			

Page 62.

1	printed on" was not a shock to
2	Armstrong because he had been
3	required to sign similar "non-
4	disclosure" documents with
5	liquidated damages provisions
6	while inside Scientology, and
7	Flynn had stated many times
8	that such documents were "not
9	worth the paper they were
10	printed on." These documents
11	were also found to be
12	unenforceable by the Court in
13	Armstrong's original case with
14	Scientology.
15	
16	74. If Flynn had stated or 74. Ex. 10 at 5:22-25.
17	even implied at the 1986
18	"global settlement" that the
19	liquidated damages provision
20	was valid and enforceable
21	Armstrong would never have
22	signed the document.
23	
24	ARMSTRONG'S ISSUE NO. III
25	Armstrong's Claim: Scientology's calculation of the
26	liquidated damages is unfathomable.
27	Armstrong incorporates herein his Disputed Fact and

Evidentiary Support Nos. 1-74 supra.

75. In its first amended 1 2 complaint, Scientology claims 3 that for a single letter Armstrong wrote on December 4 22, 1992, in which he 5 6 attempted to bring peace to 7 Scientology's conflict, it is due \$950,000.00 in liquidated 8 9 damages. 10 11 In Scientology's motion 12 it claims that Armstrong 13 14 concerning his claimed 15 Scientology knowledge and 16 17 experiences;" "met with a cadre of other anti-18 Scientology litigants and 19 20 21 22 23

75. Ex. 10, 24:6:12; Scientology's request for judicial notice, Exhibit A, First amended complaint, fourteenth cause of action, at 20:8-21:7.

"spoke multiple times with Geertz' counsel, Graham Berry, would-be witnesses, at Berry's office, wherein all discussed Scientology, their claimed knowledge and experiences;" and "furnished Berry with not one, but two declarations describing his claimed Scientology knowledge and experiences." For all these "breaches" involving all these

76. Ex. 10, 24:6:12; Scientology's motion for summary adjudication of 13th, 16th, 17th and 19th causes of action, at 9:11-10-4.

24

25

26

27

1	people Scientology seeks a			
2	"mere" \$50,000.00.			
3				
4	77. To Armstrong, there 77. Ex. 10, 24:21-25.			
5	appears to be no rhyme nor			
6	reason to Scientology's			
7	calculation of its "damages;"			
8	only whim. To Armstrong these			
9	unfathomable, whimsical			
10	calculations simply			
11	demonstrate the ridiculous			
12	nature of the "contract,"			
13	rendered, in Scientology's			
14	untrustworthy hands, horribly			
15	cruel.			
16				
17				
18	ARMSTRONG'S ISSUE NO. IV			
19	Armstrong's Claim: Scientology obtained Armstrong's			
20	signature on the subject settlement document by duress.			
21	Armstrong incorporates herein his Disputed Fact and			
22	Evidentiary Support No. 1, A-H, supra.			
23				
24	ARMSTRONG'S ISSUE NO. V			
25	Armstrong's Claim: Scientology obtained Armstrong signature			
26	on the subject settlement document by fraud.			
27	Armstrong incorporates herein his Disputed Fact and			

28 Evidentiary Support Nos. 1, A-H, supra.

1 78. Flynn advised Armstrong 2 prior to Armstrong's signing the settlement agreement that 3 Scientology had promised that 4 5 in exchange for his signing 6 the agreement it was ceasing 7 all fair game activities and all attacks against Armstrong, 8 9 and everyone else. 10 11 79. The settlement agreement contains the following 12 13 language: 14 7 I. "...the "slate" is wiped clean concerning past 15 actions by any party." 16 18. "(D) The parties 17 hereto and their respective 18 19 attorneys each agree not to 20 disclose the contents of this 21 executed Agreement. Nothing

78. Ex. 1, 9:1-15; Ex. 1(B), p. 4, ¶9, p. 5, ¶11, pp. 17, 18, ¶¶ 27; Ex. 1(G), 9:25-10:4, 11:15-17; Exhibit 1(S), Ex parte application to continue hearing on motions for summary adjudication and declaration thereto executed April 7, 1995, 5:10-22.

79. Plaintiff's Evidence,
Exhibit 1A, Mutual Release of
All Claims and Settlement
Agreement, pp. 11, 15.

(E) The parties further agree to forbear and refrain

herein shall be construed to

prevent any party hereto or

his respective attorney from

has been settled in its

stating that this civil action

Page 66.

entirety.

22

23

24

25

26

27

from doing any act or 2 exercising any right, whether 3 existing now or in the future, 4 which act or exercise is inconsistent with this 5 6 Agreement." 7 8 80. Armstrong believed that 80. Ex. 1, 15:1-10; Ex. 1(G), 9 the above quoted clauses in p. 12, ¶18. 10 the settlement agreement 11 required that Scientology forbear and refrain from 12 13 further acts of fair game 14 against him, and that 15 Scientology would not say or publish anything about him, 16 17 other than that the case had 18 been settled in its entirety. 19 81. Indeed, Scientology Ex. 1(A)(D) Notice of 20 81. Motion and Motion by Defendant 21 entity Author Services, Inc.'s Author Services, Inc to Delay 22 attorney Lawrence Heller, who or Prevent the Taking of "was personally involved in 23 the [1986] settlements," Certain Third Party 24 Depositions by Plaintiff dated stated in a declaration 25 November 1, 1989 in Corydon v. 26 executed November 1, 1989 in support of Scientology's Scientology, LASC No. C 27 motion to delay or prevent the 694401, declaration of 28 Lawrence E. Heller, 8:27-9:16. taking of certain third party

Page 67.

```
1
    depositions, including
 2
    Armstrong's, that:
         "The non-disclosure
 3
 4
    obligations were a key part of
 5
    the settlement agreements
 6
    insisted upon by all parties
 7
    involved."
 8
    82. Attorney Heller repeated
 9
                                        82. Ex. 1(A)(D), 4:9-19.
10
    this averment in the
11
    memorandum of points and
12
    authorities, stating:
         "One of the key
13
    ingredients to completing
14
15
    these settlements, insisted
    upon by all parties involved,
16
17
    was strict confidentiality
    respecting: (1) the
18
    Scientology parishioner or
19
    staff member's experiences
20
    with the Church of
21
    Scientology; (2) any knowledge
22
    possessed by the Scientology
23
    entities concerning those
24
25
    staff members or
    parishioners."
26
27
        In his call to Armstrong
                                        83. Ex. 1(A), p. 21, ¶ 44;
```

Page 68.

on November 20, 1989, Heller

Ex. 1(B), p. 6, ¶ 13, pp.

also stated that Scientology 2 had signed a non-disclosure agreement as well and as far 3 4 as he knew had lived up to the 5 agreement. Armstrong listed out for Heller statements made 6 7 by Scientology in violation of 8 the agreement. 9 10 11 12 13 If Armstrong had known 14 that the meaning of the 15 settlement agreement was that 16 Scientology was free to attack 17 him or anyone else, that it was going to continue fair 18 19 game as before, and that he 20 would be legally unable to respond or defend himself or 21 22 anyone else, he would never, for all the money in the 23 24

12,13, ¶19; Ex. 1(B)(L), Notes of Gerald Armstrong of telephone conversation with Lawrence Heller on November 20, 1989; Ex. 1(B)(M), Transcript of Gerald Armstrong's side of telephone conversation with Lawrence Heller on November 20, 1989 p. 2.

world, have signed the document.

84. Ex. 1, 15:1-10; Ex. 1(H), p. 18, ¶17.

Since Armstrong signed 85. the settlement agreement, Scientology reneged on its

85. A. Ex. 1(A), pp. 7-9, ¶¶ 15-19, p. 20, ¶ 43; Ex. 1(A)(E), Excerpts from 1987

Page 69.

25

26

27

promise and continued its fair Scientology "Dead Agent" 2 game attacks on him. These document. 3 fair game attacks after 4 December, 1986, but prior to any acts by Armstrong, which 5 6 Scientology alleges are 7 breaches of the agreement, include, but are not limited 8 9 to: 10 Delivering "dead A. 11 agent documents," on him to 12 various media representatives. 13 14 B. Publishing its own В. Ex. 1, 10:12-24; Ex. false descriptions of his 15 1(A), pp. 7-9, ¶¶ 15-19; Ex. Scientology experiences. 16 1(A)(E). 17 Disseminating to the Ex. 1(A), p. 20, ¶ 43; 18 C. 19 media an edited, misleading Ex. 1(A)(N), Business card of and defamatory version of a Eugene M. Ingram on copy face 20 of videocassette; Ex. 1(G), 21 secret and illegal videotape its agents made of him. 14:24-15:3. 22 23 D. Disseminating his Ex. 1(A), pp. 10-12; Ex. 24 D. 1(A)(F), First Affidavit of 25 own documents which Kenneth Long executed October 26 Scientology itself had 27 requested be sealed. 5, 1987 and filed in

28

Penguin Books, High Court of

Scientology v. Miller &

Justice, London, England, Case
No. 1987 C 6140, p. 2-14, ¶¶
2-26, and all exhibits
thereto.

E. Filing affidavits

about him in a civil lawsuit

in England which falsely

asserted, inter alia, that he

violated court orders and was

an admitted agent provocateur

of the US Government.

E. Ex. 1(A), pp.9-18, ¶¶ 21-39; Ex. 1(A)(F), p. 2-14, ¶¶ 2-26; Ex. 1(A)(G), Second Affidavit of Kenneth Long executed October 5, 1987 and filed in Miller, p. 2-17, ¶¶ 3-32; Ex. 1(A)(H), Third Affidavit of Kenneth Long executed October 5, 1987 and filed in Miller, p. 2, ¶ 3, p. 4, ¶¶ 8,9; Ex. 1(A)(I), First Affidavit of Sheila Chaleff executed October 5, 1987 and filed in Miller, p. 3, ¶ 7; Ex. 1(A)(J), Fourth Affidavit of Kenneth Long executed October 7, 1987 and filed in Miller, p. 2-8, ¶¶ 2-16; Ex. 1(A)(K), Fifth Affidavit of Kenneth Long executed October 8, 1987 and filed in Miller, p. 2-7, ¶¶ 3-15.

F. Threatening him with

F. Ex. 1(A), p. 9, ¶ 20.

Page 71.

27

being sued if he even talked to attorneys in the case in which the false charges were being made about him.

expose a private writing if he did not assist Scientology's effort to prevent a civil litigant, Bent Corydon from obtaining access to the Armstrong case file.

G. Ex. 1(A), p. 18,  $\P$  40.

H. Threatening him with being sued if he testified about his Scientology experiences even pursuant to a subpoena.

Ex. 1, 11:2-11; Ex. 1(A), H. pp. 2,3, ¶4, pp. 3,4, ¶¶ 7,8, pp. 7-9, ¶¶ 15-19; pp. 20,21, ¶44; Ex. 1(B), pp. 9,10, ¶ 16; pp. 11-13, ¶¶ 18, 19; Ex. 1(B)(J), Notes of Gerald Armstrong of telephone conversation with Lawrence Heller on October 23, 1989; Ex. 1(B)(K), Notes of Gerald Armstrong of telephone conversation with Lawrence Heller on October 25, 1989; Ex. 1(B)(L); Ex. 1(B)(M); Ex. 1(H), p. 11, ¶ 14.

27

Threatening him with being sued for being in court to attend a hearing concerning his own deposition.

The first thing Armstrong did in response to Scientology's post-settlement fair game attacks was to file two petitions in the California Court of Appeal to be able to respond in the then pending appeal Scientology had taken from the 1984 decision in the Armstrong case, and the appeal Scientology had taken from the unsealing by Bent Corydon of the Armstrong court file. Over Scientology's objections, the Court of Appeal granted his petitions. The Court also unsealed the subject settlement agreement, which Armstrong had filed as a "sealed exhibit" to his

From the time Armstrong Page 73.

I. Ex. 1(A), p. 23, ¶ 51; Ex. 1(B), pp. 11, 12, ¶18, Ex. 1(B)H, Declaration of Gerald Armstrong, executed March 26, 1990.

86. Ex. 1, 11:11-20; Ex. 1(A) and all Exhibits thereto; Ex. 1(A), pp. 23,24, ¶¶ 52-54; Ex. 1(A)(P), Respondents's Petition for Permission to File Response and for an Extension of Time to File Response filed in the California Court of Appeal February 28, 1990 in Scientology v. Armstrong, Case No. B025920; Ex. 1(A)(Q), Defendants's Petition for Permission to File Response and for Time to File filed in the California Court of Appeal March 1, 1990 in Scientology v. Armstrong, Case No. B038975; Ex. 1(B), and all Exhibits thereto; Ex. 1(G), 15:25-16:4.

87. A. a. Ex. 1, p. 20, ¶ 40-

ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

1 petitioned the Court of A, Exhibit O, Set of Bates-2 Appeal, Scientology has stamped Scientology continued its fair game publications, known as "dead 3 attacks on him without agent documents" concerning 4 5 ceasing. These fair game Gerald Armstrong and Judge 6 attacks include, but are not Paul G. Breckenridge, Jr., limited to: 7 produced by Scientology 8 A. Disseminating to the herein, and authenticated by 9 media "dead agent packs" of Scientology representative "black propaganda" on him 10 Lynn R. Farny, at Bates 11 which provide Scientology's stamped pages 200048, 200054; false version of Armstrong's 200191; 200196. 12 experiences and include at 13 14 least the following lies: 15 that Armstrong a. testified falsely at trial in 16 17 1984: 18 19 that he "has adopted Ex. 1(0), 200049; 200191. b. b. 20 a degraded life-style;" 21 that he was Ex. 1(0), 200049, 200191. 22 C. C. "apparently naked" in a 23 newspaper photo; 24 25 Ex. 1(0), 200049; 200191. 26 d. that he is connected d. to Cult Awareness Network 27 described as "a referral 28 agency for those who engage in

ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Page 74.

1	the illegal activity of	
2	kidnapping adults for the	
3	purpose of forcibly persuading	
4	them to abandon their	
5	religious beliefs;"	
6		
7	e. that Armstrong's	e. Ex. 1(0), 200049, 200050;
8	defense at his 1984 trial "was	200192; 200359.
9	a sham and a fraud;"	
10		
11	f. that the LAPD	f. Ex. 1(0), 200050; 200192;
12	"authorized [Scientology's]	200360.
13	videotapes of Armstrong;	
14		
15	g. that Armstrong	g. Ex. 1(0), 200050-200052;
16	wanted to plant fabricated	200360; 200361; 200669.
17	documents in Scientology files	
18	and tell the IRS to	
19	conduct a raid;	
20		
21	h. that he wanted to	h. Ex. 1(0), 200051; 200193.
22	plunder Scientology for his	
23	own financial gain;	
24		
25	i. that he never	i. Ex. 1(0), 200053; 200196;
26	intended to stick to the terms	200362.
27	of the settlement agreement;	
28		
	j. that Armstrong's	j. Ex. 1(0), 200054; 200196.
	Page 75.	ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

motives in writing attorney 1 2 Eric Lieberman regarding the 3 Nothling case were money and power; 4 5 6 k. that he was k. Ex. 1(0), 200054; 200196; 7 incompetent as a researcher on 200367. 8 the Hubbard biography project; 9 10 that he wanted to 1. 1. Ex. 1(0), 200052; 200194. 11 orchestrate a coup in which 12 members of the US Government would wrest control of 13 14 Scientology. 15 16 Ex. 1(0), 200072-200094; 17 B. Using transcripts В. 200096-200013; 200670; 200054 18 and other documents to attack 19 Armstrong which Scientology ("section 11"); 200055 itself has insisted be sealed. ("section 13"); 200196 20 ("section 11"); 200670 ("(See 21 22 letter, page 31)"); 200361 ("Section 2"); 200368 23 ("Section 19"). 24 25 C.a. Exhibit 1(L), Scientology C. Publishing "black 26 propaganda" on Armstrong publication entitled 27 without stating its source ""FACTNet" - Perversions, 28 Criminality and Lies." which provide Scientology's

APMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Page 76.

false version of his 1 Scientology at p. 3. 2 experiences and include at 3 least these false and/or 4 perverted charges: 5 a. that he was formerly 6 a heavy drug user; 7 8 b. that he was paid to b. Ex. 1(L), at p. 3. 9 provide homosexual sex; 10 11 that a Marin C. Ex. 1(L), at p. 3. 12 Independent Journal photo 13 showed him in the nude holding 14 the globe; 15 16 d. that he is a Exhibit 1(M), Scientology d. 17 psychotic and lives in a publication entitled delusory world; ""FACTNet" Still Off the 18 Rails," at p. 2. 19 20 Exhibit 1(N), Letter from 21 D. Scientology (CSI) D. director Michael Rinder on May Michael Rinder, Church of 22 Scientology International 23 9, 1994, wrote a letter to the executive and director of 24 Mirror Newspaper Group in plaintiff herein, to Mirror 25 London, United Kingdom in Group Newspapers in London, 26 which he stated that Armstrong 27 "has now distinguished himself United Kingdom dated May 9, by posing naked in a 1994, at p. 2. 28 newspaper;"

ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Page 77.

26

27

28

E. Church of
Scientology International
President Heber Jentzsch on
August 5, 1993 wrote a letter
to E! Television in which he
stated that Armstrong "has no
relation to art or
artists...except, of course,
for the photo of himself,
nude, hugging the globe;"

E. Exhibit 1(U), Letter from Church of Scientology
International President Heber
Jentzsch to E! Television
dated August 5, 1993.

F. Scientology agent
Eugene Ingram spread the rumor
that Armstrong has AIDS;

Ex. 1, 23:20-23, Exhibit F. 10, Second Declaration of Gerald Armstrong in Opposition to Motion for Summary Adjudication of 13th, 16th, 17th & 19th Causes of Action of Second Amended Complaint, executed September 9, 1995, 10:1-6, 11:13-25; Exhibit 10(A), Videotape taken by Eugene Ingram of Gerald Armstrong at November, 1992 Cult Awareness Convention, produced by Scientology herein (lodged separately).

G. Scientology agent
Garry Scarff was briefed by
Page 78.

G. Exhibit 1(K), Declaration of Garry L. Scarff, executed Ingram to expand on the "fuck buddy" relationship between attorney Ford Greene and Armstrong;

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

H. Filing declarations in various courts containing false charges, and then using the settlement agreement to prevent him from responding or punish him for responding;

February 11, 1993 and filed herein in opposition to order to show cause re contempt, at 4:6-10, 5:10-12.

Exhibit 1(P), Declaration H. of David Miscavige, executed February 8, 1994 and filed in Scientology v. Steven Fishman, supra, 31:22-32:14; Scientology's Request for Kudicial Notice, Exhibit A, second amended complaint, 19th cause of action, at 25:16-26:18; Scientology's motion for summary adjudication of 13th, 16th, 17th & 19th causes of action, at 9:9-10:4; Exhibit 1(E)(C), Scientology's Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Complaint with Prejudice, filed August 26, 1991 in Aznaran, supra, at 5:11-6:12, and declaration of Lynn R. Farny appended thereto, at pp 39-41; Scientology's evidence in support of motion for summary

25

26

27

28

adjudication, Exhibit 1J, declaration of Gerald Armstrong executed September 3, 1991, and filed in Aznaran.

I.

Attempting to have I. Armstrong jailed for contempt of court based on mischaracterization of his actions and manufactured actions;

Ex. 1(H)(DD), Scientology's Ex parte Application for Order to Show Cause Why Gerald Armstrong Should Note Be Held in Contempt, filed herein December 31, 1992; Exhibit 1(J) Declaration of Gerald Armstrong in Opposition to Motions for Summary Adjudication Fourth, Sixth and Eleventh Causes of Action of Second Amended Complaint, Authenticating Exhibits, 7:20-8:12; Ex. 1(J)(L); Exhibit 1(J)(M), "Why Thetans Mock Up," Scientology Bulletin by L. Ron Hubbard dated October 1, 1969, Bates stamped p. 700576; Plaintiff's Evidence, Exhibit 1EEEE, declaration of Gerald Armstrong, executed February 2, 1993.

26

27

28

J. Providing

documentation to <u>Premiere</u>

magazine about Armstrong,

including partial transcripts

of the illegal Ingram

videotaping of Armstrong and

then using the settlement

agreement to punish Armstrong

for responding;

Providing a press K. release to the Marin Independent Journal concerning the Court's ruling of January 27, 1995, which discusses Armstrong's Scientology experiences and contains the false statement that he "promised [in the settlement agreement] to refrain from spreading falsehoods about [Scientology];" and then using the settlement agreement to punish Armstrong for responding;

L. Secretly videotaping

J. Exhibit 1(Q), Article

"Catch a Rising Star," by John

H. Richardson in Premiere,

September, 1993, p. 88;

Scientology's motion for

summary adjudication, at 8:18;

Scientology's evidence,

Exhibit 1GGG, letter from

Gerald Armstrong to Premiere.

K. Exhibit 1(T), Scientology press release from Nancy O'Meara and Andrew H. Wilson regarding January 27, 1995 ruling by Judge Gary W. Thomas granting summary adjudication; Scientology's motion for summary adjudication, at 14:22-15:5; Scientology's evidence, Exhibit 1IIII, letter from Gerald Armstrong to Nancy O'Meara.

L. Ex. 1(E)(E), pp. 29-33,
Letters of August 21 and
August 22, 1991 to Scientology

2

3 4

88. Scientology has also

game against its other

continued to carry out fair

perceived enemies, many of

them Armstrong's friends and

associates who include Ford

Greene, Hana Whitfield, Dennis

Erlich, Lawrence Wollersheim,

Wakefield, Nancy McLean and

Jonathan Atack, Margery

Malcolm Nothling.

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

attorney Eric Lieberman.

88. Ex. 1, 24:5-24; Ex. 10,

11:5-12:7; Ex. 9, 1:18-5:21;

Ex. 9(B), in toto; Ex. 2,

1:12-27, 8:20-26, 6:21-12:18;

Ex.2(A), 8:5-29:17, 38:4-41:7;

Exhibit 3, Declaration of

Dennis Erlich in Opposition to

Motions for Summary

Adjudication of 20th Cause of

Action; and 13th, 16th, 17th &

19th Causes of Action of

Second Amended Complaint, and

Authenticating Exhibits,

executed April 6, 1995, 3:9-

19; Exhibit 4, Declaration of

Margery Wakefield in

Opposition to Motions for

Summary Adjudication of 20th

Cause of Action; and 13th,

16th, 17th & 19th Causes of

Action of Second Amended

Complaint, and Authenticating

Exhibits, executed April 7,

1995, 3:25-3:17; Exhibit 4(B),

Scientology's Motion for Order

to Show Cause Why Plaintiff

Should Not Be Held in Criminal

Contempt, filed February, 1993 in Wakefield v. Scientology, US District Court for the Middle District of Florida, Case no. 82-1313-Civ-T-10; Exhibit 5, Declaration of Keith Scott in Opposition to Motions for Summary Adjudication of 20th Cause of Action; and 13th, 16th, 17th & 19th Causes of Action of Second Amended Complaint, executed April 5, 1995, 3:6:15; Exhibit 6, Declaration of Malcolm Nothling in Opposition to Motions for Summary Adjudication of 20th Cause of Action; and 13th, 16th, 17th & 19th Causes of Action of Second Amended Complaint, and Authenticating Exhibits, executed April 2, 1995, 2:6-11, 2:17-21; Exhibit 6(A), Sworn Statement, pp 1,2, ¶¶ 3-7; Ex. 7, pp. 1-3, ¶¶ 6-12, p. 4, ¶ 16; Exhibit 7, § "General Report on Scientology - Declaration of Jonathan Caven-Atack," p. 1, ¶2, pp.

27

28

12-16, ¶¶68-90; Exhibit 7(A) Booklet "Total Freedom Trap: Scientology, Dianetics and L. Ron Hubbard, by Jon Atack, Theta Communications, Ltd., 1992, pp. 18,19, 28; Exhibit 7(B), Scientology Booklet "Anatomy of a Propagandist" Theta Communications International, undated. pp. 1end; Exhibit 8, Declaration of Nancy McLean in Opposition to Motions for Summary Adjudication of 20th Cause of Action; and 13th, 16th, 17th & 19th Causes of Action of Second Amended Complaint, and Authenticating Exhibits, executed April 5, 1995, 1:17-3:6; Ex.1(L), pp. 1-5; Ex. 1(M), pp. 1-3.

22

23

24

25

26

27

## ISSUE NO. VI

<u>Armstrong's Claim</u>: The settlement agreement is unfair, unreasonable, unconscionable and cannot be specifically performed.

Armstrong incorporates herein his Disputed Facts, Additional Facts and Evidentiary Support Nos. 1-88, supra.

89. Scientology's interpretation of the settlement agreement is that it can say whatever it wants to anyone in any form at any time about Armstrong and that he has no right to respond.

90. Armstrong has been "fair game" since 1982.

89. Ex. 1, 15:1-3; Ex.

1(B)(F), Declaration of

Lawrence Heller executed March

27, 1989 and filed in Corydon,

supra., 34:26-35-13;

Scientology's motions for

summary adjudication of 20th

cause of action, and 13th,

16th, 17th and 19th causes of

action of second amended

complaint filed herein.

90. Ex. 1(A)(A), 5:3-19; 7:9-12:9; Ex. 1(A)(A) Appendix, 13:4-15:3; Ex. 1(B), pp. 17, 18, ¶ 27; Ex. 1(C), at 920, 921, 925; Exhibit 1(I)(U), Deposition testimony herein July 11 and July 26, 1994 of Lynn Farny ("Farny") Secretary and corporate representative of plaintiff CSI, at 250:24-251:6; Ex. 1(I)(AA); Ex. 1(I)(BB); Ex. 1(I)(CC), "Suppressive Persons and Suppressive Groups List, Flag Executive Directive" dated July 25, 1992, (alphabetical list). Armstrong specifically

28

1 repeats and includes herein his evidence in additional 2 3 facts Nos. 85 and 87, supra. 4 5 91. Armstrong's cross-91. Ex. 1(A) p. ¶ 1; Ex. 6 complaint against Scientology 1(A)(A), 12:10-16; Exhibit 7 for fraud and years of fair 1(A)(B) Opinion of California game attacks was set in Court of Appeal dated December 8 9 December, 1986 to go to trial 18, 1986 in Scientology v. 10 in March, 1987. Armstrong, Case No. B005912, 11 at 13; Ex. 1(G), 11:7-10; Ex. 12 1(H), p. 18, ¶17. 13 92. Plaintiff's Evidence, 92. Armstrong agreed, in 14 exchange for monetary payment, Exhibit A, p. 1-6, ¶¶ 1-6C; 15 Scientology's cessation of 16 Ex. 1, 9:1-25; Ex. 1(B), p. 4, 17 fair game against him and ¶9, p. 5, ¶11, pp. 17, 18, ¶¶ others and its release of him 18 27; Ex. 1(A)(D), declaration from all acts and claims, to of Lawrence E. Heller, 8:27-19 20 dismiss his cross-complaint 9:16; Ex. 1(G), 9:25-10:4, 11:15-17; Ex. 1(S), and 21 and release Scientology for declaration thereto, 5:10-22. 22 all its acts and claims up to 23 the date of settlement. Nowhere in the agreement does 24 25 it state that Armstrong released Scientology from 26 27 future acts, that Scientology may say or publish whatever it 28

wants about him, nor that he

1	waived any right to respond to	
2	any such statement.	
3		
4	93. The settlement agreement,	93. Plaintiff's Evidence,
5	however, specifically states	Exhibit A, pp. 4,5, ¶¶ 4A, 4B.
6	that Armstrong waived his	
7	right to respond in any appeal	
8	Scientology might take from	
9	the 1984 decision in his case	
10	by Judge Breckenridge.	
11		
12	94. In spite of that specific	94. Ex. 1(A)(P).
13	waiver, the Court of Appeal	
14	granted Armstrong's petition	
15	to respond, which was based in	
16	part on his assertion that	
17	being held by contract from	
18	not responding worked a fraud	
19	upon the Court.	
20		
21	95. The Court of Appeal also	95. Ex. A, and all exhibits
22	granted Armstrong's petition	thereto; 1(A)(Q); Ex. B, and
23	to respond in the appeal	all exhibits thereto; Ex. C.
24	Scientology had taken from the	
25	unsealing of the Armstrong	
26	court file, which petition was	
27	based in part on his assertion	
28	that being held by contract	
	from not responding worked a	
	Page 87.	ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	2.000	

fraud upon the Court, and on 1 2 the acts of fair game 3 perpetrated against him by 4 Scientology after the 1986 5 settlement. 6 7 96. Flynn advised Armstrong 96. Ex. 1(B), p. 3, ¶7; 8 that what Scientology was Plaintiff's Evidence, Exhibit paying him for in the 9 A,  $\P\P$  1,4,5,6,8; Ex. 1(G), settlement was his dismissal 10 8:28-9:5. of his cross-complaint and his 11 release of Scientology for all 12 its prior acts. 13 14 Armstrong never agreed 97. Ex. 1, 14:28-15:10; Ex. 15 97. to permit Scientology to 1(A), p. 7, ¶ 14, p. 22, ¶ 46; 16 continue fair game, to be Ex. 1(B), pp. 17, 18, ¶27; Ex. 17 18 himself a punching bag, or a 1(H), p. 18, ¶ 17. willing victim, to be a tool 19 of Scientology's obstruction 20 21 of justice or its suppression of our brothers; and would 22 23 never agree to such a condition for any amount of 24 25 money. 26 Ex. 9, 4:4-5:13; Ex. 2, 98. Other people who 98. 27 17:13-26; Ex. 3, 3:20-4:8; Ex. understand Scientology's fair 4, 3:9-17; Ex. 5, 1:21-3; Ex. game philosophy and practices

Page 88.

APMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

1	also view Scientology's	6, 1:23-4:6; Ex, p. 3, ¶¶ 12-
2	interpretation of the	16.
3	settlement agreement	
4	unconscionable.	
5		
6	99. Nancy McLean and Margery	99. Ex. 1, 24:5-24; Ex. 4,
7	Wakefield, view the way	2:25-17; Ex. 4(B); Ex. 8,
8	Scientology is using the	2:22-25.
9	settlement agreements as so	
10	unconscionable that they have	
11	risked court orders and prison	
12	to continue to speak out	
13	against Scientology's	
14	antisocial practices.	
15		
16	100. On December 23, 1991, at	100. Exhibit 1(Y), Partial
17	the hearing of a motion	transcript of proceedings,
18	brought by Scientology in the	December 23, 1991, in
19	original <u>Armstrong</u> case to	Scientology v. Armstrong, Los
20	enforce the settlement	Angeles Superior Court No. C
21	agreement, Los Angeles	420153, at 52:5:19.
22	Superior Court Judge Bruce R.	
23	Geernaert, stated regarding	
24	the agreement:	
25	"So my belief is Judge	
26	Breckenridge, being a very	
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	Breckenridge, being a very careful judgeif he had	

agreement and if he had been

1	asked to order its
2	performance, he would have dug
3	his feet in because that is
4	one I'll say one of the
5	most ambiguous, one-sided
6	agreements I have ever read.
7	And I would not have ordered
8	the enforcement of hardly any
9	of the terms if I had been
10	asked to, even on the threat
11	that, okay the case is not
12	settled. ¶ I know we like to
13	settle cases. But we don't
14	like to settle cases and, in
15	effect, prostrate the court
16	system into making an order
17	which is not fair or in the
18	public interest."
19	
20	101. On February 19, 1992,
21	fifteen days after Scientology
22	filed the instant case,
23	Armstrong's attorney Ford
24	Greene wrote to Scientology
25	attorney Laurie Bartilson and

requested that Scientology

Julia Dragojevic from any

release Armstrong's attorneys

Michael Flynn, Bruce Bunch and

101. Exhibit 1(V), Letter from Ford Greene to Laurie
Bartilson dated February 19, 1992.

26

27

28

1	contract by Scientology which	
2	prohibited them from providing	
3	Armstrong with a declaration	
4	or otherwise assisting him in	
5	this case.	
6		
7	102. On February 24, 1992,	102. Exhibit 1(W), Letter from
8	Greene wrote to Bartilson and	Ford Greene to Laurie
9	requested that Scientology	Bartilson dated February 24,
10	release other settling	1992.
11	parties, specifically, Nancy	
12	Dincalci, Kima Douglas,	
13	Michael Douglas, Robert	
14	Dardano, Warren Friske,	
15	William Franks, Laurel	
16	Sullivan, Edward Walters,	
17	Howard Schomer, Martin	
18	Samuels, Julie Christopherson,	
19	Nancy McLean, Tonja Burden,	
20	Gabe Cazares and Margery	
21	Wakefield, from any contract	
22	by Scientology which	
23	prohibited them from providing	
24	Armstrong with a declaration	
25	or otherwise assisting him in	
26	this case.	
27		
28	103. On March 3, 1992	103. Exhibit 1(X), Letter from
	Bartilson wrote to Greene,	Laurie Bartilson to Ford

ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Page 91.

1	refusing to release	Greene dated March 2, 1992.
2	Armstrong's attorneys or other	
3	settling parties. Scientology	
4	has refused throughout this	
5	litigation to release either	
6	Armstrong's attorneys or the	
7	settling parties from any	
8	contracts by which they are	
9	prohibited from assisting	
10	Armstrong.	
11		
12	104. Flynn recently told	104. Ex. 1(S), 4:26-6:23.
13	Armstrong that if he were free	
14	to do so he would testify	
15	that:	
16	Scientology prior to and	
17	during the time he was	
18	involved in litigation used	
19	the legal system and force	
20	against perceived critics and	
21	targeted "enemies" to	
22	eliminate ideas antithetical	
23	to its own; that this went	
24	under the heading "fair game,"	
25	and included the concepts of	
26	"attack the attacker," and	
27	"black propaganda;" that	
28	Scientology swore to give up	
	these practices and begged for	

1	a settlement as a means of
2	obtaining an opportunity to
3	prove that it had given up
4	these practices; that, but for
5	Scientology's promise that it
6	was giving up all fair game
7	practices, he would never have
8	agreed to sign, nor had
9	Armstrong or any other client
10	agree to sign, Scientology's
11	settlement documents; that the
12	depth of his and Armstrong's
13	principles and extent of
14	dedication to the truth is
15	manifested by the successful
16	litigation of the case
17	Scientology v. Armstrong, Los
18	Angeles Superior Court No. C
19	420153; that intrinsic to the
20	agreement was the recognition
21	that the Armstrong cross-
22	complaint was about to go to
23	trial, that Scientology had
24	substantial liability therein,
25	and that there had been a
26	verdict of \$30,000,000 against
27	Scientology in the case of
28	Wollersheim v. Scientology,
	also in LA Superior Court

within less than five months 1 2 of the December, 1986 3 settlement; that he was the 4 subject of fair game from 1979 5 through 1986, had been sued fifteen times by Scientology, 6 7 had been harassed 8 unmercifully, framed, 9 threatened, his marriage 10 ruined and his family and 11 career threatened, and that he 12 was desperate to get out of 13 the fair game threat; that there were no negotiations 14 concerning the liquidated 15 damages condition of the 16 17 settlement agreement; that there were no negotiations 18 19 involving Armstrong; that he believed that, despite 20 Scientology's refusal to not 21 22 include the condition, it was and is unenforceable, and told 23 Armstrong so at the time; that 24 25 there is no reasonable 26 relationship between Scientology's actual damages 27 28 and the liquidated damages; that the bargaining power of

the parties was completely 1 2 lopsided in Scientology's 3 favor; that there was supposed to be a "clean slate," after 5 the settlement; and, that if Scientology published anything 6 7 about Armstrong after the settlement Armstrong was not 8 9 prohibited from responding to 10 such post-settlement statements. 11 12 13 105. On April 6, 1995, 105. Ex. 1(S). Armstrong applied to Judge 14 Gary W. Thomas ex parte for an 15 order releasing Flynn from the 16 17 contract by which Scientology 18 prohibited him from helping Armstrong. Scientology opposed 19 20 Armstrong's application and 21 Judge Thomas denied it. 22 23 ISSUE VII Armstrong's Claim: Scientology's hands are unclean in this 24 transaction and Scientology is therefore barred from obtaining the 25 relief it seeks. 26 Armstrong incorporates herein his Disputed Facts, Additional 27 Facts and Evidentiary Support Nos. 1-105, supra.

28

1	106. In his decision after the	106. Ex. 1(A), 1:25-28.
2	1984 trial in the Armstrong	
3	case Judge Breckenridge ruled	
4	that Scientology did not have	
5	"clean hands," with respect to	
6	Armstrong as a result of its	
7	"suppressive person" declares	
8	and the fair game actions	
9	which followed.	
10		
11	107. This decision was	107. Ex. 1(C).
12	affirmed in its totality by	
13	the Court of Appeal in 1991,	
14	which also noted that the	
15	"declares" subjected Armstrong	
16	to, the "Fair Game Doctrine,"	
1,7	"which permits a suppressive	
18	person to be "tricked, sued or	
19	lied to or destroyed[or]	
20	deprived of property or	
21	injured by any means by any	
22	Scientologist."	
23		
24	108. Following the	108. Ex. 1, 5:5-6:19; Ex.
25	Breckenridge decision,	1(G), 6:13-7:7; Ex. 1(G)(M);
26	Scientology continued to	Ex. $1(G)(N)$ ; Ex. $1(G)(0)$ ; Ex.
27	subject Armstrong to fair	1(B), p. 1, ¶ 2; Ex. 1(B)(O),
28	game.	3:15-8:16; Ex. 1(B)(P), 6:4-
		11:12.

1 109. Scientology subjected 2 Armstrong's attorney Michael 3 Flynn to fair game. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 110. Scientology promised to discontinue fair game against 11 Armstrong and others as an 12 13 inducement for settlement; 14 then reneged on that promise 15 and have continued fair game 16 against Armstrong since the 17 settlement. 18 111. Prior to Armstrong 19 20 speaking publicly about his 21 experiences in Scientology, Scientology engaged him in 22 23 public controversy by publishing and disseminating 24 25 its own versions of his experiences, and has continued 26 27 thereafter to publish and disseminate its versions of 28

109. Ex. 1, 6:20-7:7; Ex.

1(G), 9:6-24; Ex. 1(B), p. 1,

¶ 2, pp. 3,4,5 ¶8, ¶11; Ex.

1(B)(O), pp. 60-74; Ex. 1(H),

pp. 8,9, ¶ 12; Exhibit 7, p.

4, ¶16; Ex. 1(G)(L), pp. 4,5,

(5); Ex. 1(G)(M); Ex. 1(G)(N);

Ex. 1(G)(O).

110. Ex. 1, 9:1-15; Ex. 1(B),
p. 4, ¶9, p. 5, ¶11, pp. 17,
18, ¶¶ 27; Ex. 1(G), 9:2510:4, 11:15-17; Ex.1(S), 5:1022. Armstrong specifically
repeats and includes herein
his evidence in additional
facts Nos. 85 and 87, supra.

111. Armstrong specifically repeats and includes herein his evidence in additional facts Nos. 85 and 87, supra.

his experiences.

1 2 ISSUE VIII 3 Armstrong's Claim: The settlement agreement and Scientology's enforcement thereof are obstructive of justice. 4 5 Armstrong incorporates herein his Disputed Facts, Additional 6 Facts and Evidentiary Support Nos. 1-111, supra. 7 112. Scientology claims that 8 112. Ex. 1, 15:1-3; Ex. 9 by the settlement it was free 1(B)(F), 34:26-35-13; 10 to comment upon Armstrong's Scientology's motions for statements, and that Armstrong summary adjudication of 20th 11 could not respond to cause of action, and 13th, 12 16th, 17th and 19th causes of 13 Scientology's post-settlement action of second amended 14 comments. complaint filed herein. 15 16 17 113. Scientology characterized 113. Ex. 1(B)(E), Scientology's Opposition of Armstrong's statements, which 18 it claims it is free to Defendants to Motion for an 19 20 comment on, as "often bizarre Order Directing Nonallegations." Interference with Witnesses 21 and Disqualification of 22 Counsel, filed March 27, 1990 23 in Corydon, supra, 14:25-15:1. 24 25 114. Lawrence Heller testified 114. Ex. 1(B)(F), 35:2-4. 26 that "[a]t the time of the 27 Armstrong settlement, 28

information from Mr. Armstrong

1	was being used in a number of			
2	cases around the world."			
3				
4	115. Scientology staff member	115. Exhibit 1(BB),		
5	Kenneth Long stated in a	Declaration of Kenneth D. Long		
6	declaration executed January	in support of plaintiff's		
7	19, 1995 that prior to	reply in support of motion for		
8	December, 1986, Armstrong had	summary adjudication of the		
9	testified in 15 cases a total	fourth, sixth and eleventh		
10	of 28 trial days, had been	causes of action of		
11	deposed for 19 days, and had	plaintiff's second amended		
12	executed 28 declarations in 15	complaint, filed herein		
13	cases all of which concerned	January 20 1995, 1:24-28.		
14	Scientology and its related			
15	entities.			
16				
17	116. In the same declaration	116. Ex. 1(BB), 1:20:22.		
18	Long describes Armstrong as,			
19	inter alia, "an anti-Church			
20	litigant and a professional			
21	witness against the Church in			
22	other litigation" and "a			
23	paralegal who worked			
24	extensively on anti-Church			
25	cases."			
26				
27	117. In Armstrong's expert	117. Ex. 1, 15:10-20.		
28	opinion, "[t]he whole set of			
	"settlement agreements," which			
- 11				

1 are commonly known as the 2 "Flynn agreements," are unfair to anyone who litigates either 3 as a defendant or plaintiff 4 against Scientology, since 5 6 these agreements remove 7 knowledgeable witnesses from the legal arena and drive up 8 9 litigation costs. 10 "agreements" are also unfair to the public because they 11 allow Scientology's leaders to 12 13 rewrite history, lie about judicially credited 14 information, attack the 15 16 sources of that information 17 without response, and convey 18 the idea that it is futile to speak the truth or oppose 19 20 their tyranny. These "agreements" obstruct 21

23

24

25

26

27

28

22

## ISSUE IX

justice."

Armstrong's Claim: All of Armstrong's experiences concerning which Scientology seeks to silence him are religious in nature and the silencing of the expression of such experiences by court order is completely barred by the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

1	Armstrong incorporates herein	his Disputed Facts, Additional		
2	Facts and Evidentiary Support Nos. 1-117, supra.			
3				
4	118. Scientology claims to be	118. Plaintiff's Evidence:		
5	a religion.	Request for Judicial Notice,		
6		Exhibit A, face; all papers		
7		filed by Scientology herein.		
8				
9	119. Scientology claims in its	119. Exhibit 1(CC), Revised		
10	By-Laws to be "an association	By-Laws of Church of		
11	of persons having incorporated	Scientology International. p.		
12	exclusively for religious	1, preamble.		
13	purposes under the laws of the			
14	State of California as the			
15	same relate to Nonprofit			
16	Religious Corporations."			
17				
18	120. In its By-Laws	120. Ex. 1(CC), p. 3, ¶ c.		
19	Scientology defines "Religion			
20	of Scientology" and			
21	"Scientology" as "the			
22	religious doctrines, beliefs,			
23	tenets, practices, applied			
24	religious philosophy and			
25	technology for its application			
26	as developed by L. Ron Hubbard			
27	and as the same may hereafter			
28	be developed by L. Ron			
	Hubbard."			

1	121. In its By-Laws	121. Ex. 1(CC), p. 3, ¶ d.
2	Scientology defines	
3	"Scriptures" as "the writings	
4	and recorded spoken words of	
5	L. Ron Hubbard with respect to	
6	Scientology and organizations	
7	formed for the purposes	
8	thereof."	
9		
10	122. Scientology's By-Laws	122. Ex. 1(CC), p. 4, Article
11	state that the purposes of "a	III.
12	Church of Scientology: "The	
13	corporation shall espouse,	
14	present, propagate, practice,	
15	ensure and maintain the purity	
16	and integrity of, the religion	
17	of Scientology, as the same	
18	has been developed and may	
19	further be developed by L. Ron	
20	Hubbard."	
21		
22	123. Scientology's By-Laws	123. Ex. 1(CC), p. 28, § 3.
23	state that the purposes of its	
24	"religious orders" shall be	
25	the carrying out of the	
26	religious and administrative	
27	activities of [Scientology],"	
28	the "supervision of	
	ecclesiastical affairs of	
	Page 102.	ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

1	1 other churches of		
2	2 Scientology."		
3	3		
4	4 124. Armstrong was a member of 124. E	Ex. 1	, 27:23-27.
5	5 Scientology's "religious		
6	order," the Sea Organization,		
7	7 members of which sign a		
8	billion year contract, from		
9	9 1971 through 1981, the period		
10	of almost all of his		
11	significant experiences about		
12	which Scientology seeks to		
13	silence him.		
14	4		
15	5 125. Armstrong believes that 125. E	Ex. 1	, 27:10-13.
16	his experiences in Scientology		
17	are religious, indeed sacred,		
18	because they were created and		
19	motivated by God for His		
20	Glory.		
21			
22	2 126. Armstrong believes that 126. E	x. 1,	2:28-3:23.
23	through God's Grace and Wisdom		
24	he came to see that the faith		
25	he put in Hubbard, and in his		
26	philosophy, mental "science"		
27	and organizational policies,		
28	throughout his Scientology		
	years was misplaced. He came		
	Page 103.	'S SEPAR	ATE STATEMENT RE SUMM

1	to see that despite that
2	misplaced faith, God never
3	deserted him, that God was
4	with him, keeping him safe
5	every moment. Armstrong
6	believes that throughout his
7	Scientology years, through
8	abuse, danger, and betrayal,
9	He kept his heart from being
10	hardened beyond salvation.
11	Armstrong believes that God
12	kept him from being completely
13	taken over by Scientology
14	through all the years of
15	indoctrination, mind control,
16	"ethics," threat and
17	punishment, and through the
18	more than a thousand hours of
19	"auditing." Armstrong
20	believes that when Hubbard
21	assigned him twice to the
22	Rehabilitation Project Force
23	(RPF), Scientology's prison,
24	for a total of twenty-five
25	months, when Hubbard had his
26	messengers order Armstrong's
27	wife to leave him, when he was
28	ordered security checked for
	questioning Hubbard's

1	truthfulness, God brought him	
2	through safely, made him	
3	stronger and wiser, and kept	
4	his heart from hardening.	
5	Armstrong believes that he was	
6	so deeply involved with	
7	Scientology, so devoted to an	
8	ungodly man and his ungodly	
9	teaching, only God's Own	
10	leading him, through His	
11	mysterious way, could have	
12	saved him. Armstrong believes	
13	that God led him into the	
14	Hubbard archive and biography	
15	project, brought him to study	
16	the Hubbard's secret papers	
17	and document his duplicity,	
18	and freed Armstrong's faith	
19	from Scientology, on which it	
20	had been misplaced.	
21		
22	127. Armstrong believes that	127. Ex. 1, 4:5-5:1.
23	in his post-Scientology	
24	period, when first in the	
25	outside world, confused and	
26	afraid, God took him in His	
27	Hands for particular care and	
28	teaching. Armstrong believes	
	that when Scientology's	
	Page 105.	ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SU

ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

- 1	
1	leaders sent hired private
2	investigators to spy on him
3	and his wife, and to terrorize
4	them, God kept him,
5	emotionally intact. Armstrong
6	believes that at the first
7	hearing in his case in 1982,
8	God somehow put into his hands
9	a tiny tract of Bible quotes
10	which he held and put his
11	heart on as fear gripped at
12	him. Throughout the 1984
13	trial, where Armstrong was on
14	the stand for about ten days,
15	he depended on the Twenty-
16	third Psalm to calm his mind
17	and heart.
18	
19	128. Armstrong believes that 128. Ex. 1, 5:14-6:1.
20	for His Purposes God allowed
21	him to be terrorized by
22	Scientology agents, his car
23	broken into, his drawings and
24	writings stolen, other
25	writings and ideas of his
26	perverted and held up to
27	ridicule. Armstrong believes
28	that God allowed Scientology's
	leaders to become intoxicated
- 11	

1	by their own lies so that they
2	would try time after time to
3	have Armstrong jailed on their
4	false and manufactured
5	criminal charges. Armstrong
6	believes that God allowed the
7	self-deception of
8	Scientology's leaders, so that
9	they concocted a perverse
10	intelligence scheme to entrap
11	Armstrong in a crime and have
12	him prosecuted. Armstrong
13	believes that God allowed
14	Scientology's leaders to put
15	their faith in a base private
16	investigator, Eugene Ingram,
17	whom they would use to
18	illegally videotape Armstrong,
19	and who threatened to put a
20	bullet between Armstrong's
21	eyes. Armstrong believes that
22	God allowed the heart of an
23	Los Angeles Police Department
24	Officer, Philip Rodriguez, to
25	be tempted by greed, and paid
26	for a false authorization to
27	Scientology to illegally
28	videotape Armstrong.
	Armstrong believes that God
	Page 107.

allowed Armstrong's friend Dan 1 Sherman to use their 2 friendship to betray 3 4 Armstrong, to lead him with kind words into danger, to set 5 him up, to trick from him his 6 7 thoughts and writings, and to break his heart. 8 9 10 129. Armstrong believes that 129. Ex. 1, 6:20-7:3. God allowed Scientology's 11 leaders to attack Michael 12 Flynn, Armstrong's attorney, 13 good friend, benefactor and 14 champion in the legal battle. 15 Armstrong believes that God 16 17 allowed Scientology to sue 18 Flynn some fifteen times, to threaten him, his family and 19 20 career, to frame him with forgery, to pay known 21 criminals to bear false 22 witness against him, and to 23 attempt his assassination. 24 25 Armstrong believes that God allowed Scientology's leaders 26 27 to think they could destroy Flynn with their "black 28 propaganda," "dead agent"

Page 108.

1	packs, "noisy investigations,"
2	
	and the compromise and turning
3	of other clients. Scientology
4	worked for seven years to
5	achieve this destruction.
6	Armstrong believes that God
7	brought him to Flynn, and
8	Flynn to Armstrong, and
9	brought them to fight
10	alongside each other in a
11	legal and spiritual battle
12	against the threat and evil of
13	Hubbard and his organization
14	from 1982 through 1986.
15	
16	130. Armstrong believes that 130. Ex. 1, 7:8:15.
	130. Armstrong believes that 130. Ex. 1, 7:8:15. during the years of the
16	
16 17	during the years of the
16 17 18	during the years of the Scientology battle he was
16 17 18 19	during the years of the Scientology battle he was brought by God ever closer to
16 17 18 19 20	during the years of the Scientology battle he was brought by God ever closer to Him. Armstrong believes that
16 17 18 19 20 21	during the years of the Scientology battle he was brought by God ever closer to Him. Armstrong believes that when he was alone, at times in
16 17 18 19 20 21	during the years of the Scientology battle he was brought by God ever closer to Him. Armstrong believes that when he was alone, at times in terrible fear, God kept him
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	during the years of the  Scientology battle he was  brought by God ever closer to  Him. Armstrong believes that  when he was alone, at times in  terrible fear, God kept him  safe, and allowed his heart to
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	during the years of the Scientology battle he was brought by God ever closer to Him. Armstrong believes that when he was alone, at times in terrible fear, God kept him safe, and allowed his heart to break, over and over.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	during the years of the  Scientology battle he was  brought by God ever closer to  Him. Armstrong believes that  when he was alone, at times in  terrible fear, God kept him  safe, and allowed his heart to  break, over and over.  Armstrong believes that God
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	during the years of the Scientology battle he was brought by God ever closer to Him. Armstrong believes that when he was alone, at times in terrible fear, God kept him safe, and allowed his heart to break, over and over. Armstrong believes that God spoke to him, and that at

1	dialogues. Armstrong believes
2	that God gave him the idea for
3	the true protection of His
4	Children in this world, and
5	moved Armstrong in 1986 to
6	found a church based on this
7	concept for His Glory.
8	
9	131. Armstrong believes that, 131. Ex. 1, 7:24-8:13.
10	at a time when Scientology
11	faced tremendous exposure and
12	liability in Armstrong's
13	cross-complaint for years of
14	outrageous fair game attacks,
15	and had just suffered a
16	\$30,000,000 verdict in the
17	case of <u>Lawrence Wollersheim</u>
18	v. Scientology, Los Angeles
19	Superior Court No. C 332027,
20	God, for His Glory, allowed
21	Flynn to lose heart. Flynn
22	"negotiated" a deal with
23	Scientology which involved
24	getting his clients to agree
25	to the organization's demanded
26	contractual condition of
27	silence about their
28	"experiences," while not
	demanding the same protection

14-28.
14-

1	compromised, for His Purpose
2	to His Own Glory. Armstrong
3	believes that God allowed
4	Flynn to state to Armstrong,
5	when Armstrong protested the
6	impossibility of the
7	settlement agreement and the
8	insanity of the liquidated
9	damages clause, "Gerry, it's
10	not worth the paper it's
11	printed on. It's
12	unenforceable. You can't
13	contract away your
14	Constitutional rights."
15	Armstrong believes that God
16	allowed Flynn to point out to
17	Armstrong his release of
18	Scientology and Armstrong's
19	dismissal of his lawsuit, and
20	allowed Flynn to say, "That's
21	what they're paying you for."
22	
23	133. Armstrong believes that 133. Ex. 1, 9:16-25.
24	God made him aware of His
25	Spirit during the "settlement"
26	when he was rejected from Mike
27	Flynn's heart. Armstrong
28	believes that God showed him a
	glimpse of the future at that

moment; that he might be left alone, that he might be persecuted, but that he should not fear; and that he should at that time give everyone involved what they wanted, what they thought they needed to be free. Because of Flynn's promise of the agreement's unenforceability, Armstrong's desire to end the threat if possible for everyone, Scientology's promise to end fair game, and what he believed was God's Assurance, Armstrong did sign.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

134. Armstrong believes that after the "settlement," God gave him a time of some quiet and joy to write, draw, get strong after years of deteriorating health, to hang out with friends, and to be drawn ever closer to Him.

Armstrong believes that in 1987 God chose him and came to him in the undeniable Physical Substance of His Love, and

134. Ex. 1, 9:26-10:11; Ex.

1(H), p. 38, ¶ 28, pp. 39-41,

¶ 30; Exhibit 1(H)(X),

Advertisements by Gerald

Armstrong in "Common Ground,"

in 1989; Exhibit 1(H)(R)(CC),

Letter from Gerald Armstrong

to Jonathan Marshall dated

July 28, 1987; letter from

Gerald Armstrong to "Dear

Captors" dated July 28, 1987;

Exhibit 1(H)(R)(DD), Writing

gave Armstrong a greater view of his future role in God's Plan. Armstrong believes that in 1988 God brought him to offer his life in exchange for the captives then held in Lebanon. Armstrong believes that God schooled him in the 8 understanding of His Nature and set Armstrong on the path to become by 1989 one of His 11 12 Teachers. Armstrong believes that in 1989 God also gave 13 him an understanding of the valuelessness of money, and a 15 16 glimpse of God's solution for 17 the grinding cruelty of the world's economic system. 18 Armstrong believes that God 19 brought to him a glory of four-leaf clovers. Armstrong believes that God showed Armstrong that through his life God could bring to the world the mathematical proof of His guidance. Armstrong 26 believes that God moved him to run like the wind, and to pick up the world's trash, all for

1

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

14

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

by Gerald Armstrong dated December 31, 1987; 1(H)(R)(EE), "Margaret," drawing by Gerald Armstrong; 1(H)(R)(FF), "Mitzi," drawing by Gerald Armstrong; Exhibit 1(H)(S), Letter from Gerald Armstrong to Jonathan Marshall dated October 14, 1989 with article "A Crash Course in Speculation."

His Glory. 2 3 135. Armstrong believes that 135. Ex. 1, 10:12-11:20. during the post-settlement 4 5 years, God also allowed the 6 hearts of Scientology's 7 leaders to grow ever harder and to manifest in attack 8 9 after attack on Armstrong's 10 character and credibility. It became clear, and saddened 11 12 Armstrong greatly, that these 13 leaders had not stopped "fair 14 game," but were using the 15 cessation of the litigation by Flynn and his clients as an 16 17 opportunity to continue their antisocial practices 18 unchecked. Armstrong believes 19 that God allowed his heart to 20 be broken by each attack and 21 the daily knowledge that 22 23 Scientology had not ceased fair game, yet God kept 24 25 Armstrong from responding with anything other than sadness 26 27 for almost three years. in the fall of 1989, Armstrong 28

was served with a deposition

1	
1	subpoena by the attorney for
2	Bent Corydon in the case of
3	Corydon v. Scientology, Los
4	Angeles Superior Court No.
5	C694401. Following this
6	Armstrong received a series of
7	calls from Scientology
8	attorney Lawrence Heller who
9	threatened that, even pursuant
10	to this subpoena, if Armstrong
11	testified about his knowledge
12	of Hubbard and Scientology he
13	would be sued. Armstrong was
14	deeply troubled by Heller's
15	threats, the idea of
16	succumbing to those threats,
17	and the injustice and evil the
18	settlement agreements had
19	spawned. Armstrong believes
20	that God brought him at that
21	time to a determination to do
22	what he could to bring to
23	light and correct that
24	injustice and evil. When
25	Armstrong began to research
26	his rights, responsibilities
27	and how to proceed, he learned
28	that through the intervening
	five years Scientology had

1	been able to maintain an
2	appeal, Scientology v.
3	Armstrong, No. B025920, from
4	the 1984 Breckenridge
5	decision, and Armstrong's
6	first actions concerned that
7	appeal.
8	
9	136. Around March 12 and 136. Ex. 1, 24:25-25:14.
10	continuing for about two weeks
11	Armstrong experienced what he
12	believes was both his
13	spiritual death and his
14	rebirth, brought on him by
15	God. Armstrong believes that
16	God showed him the nature of
17	the evil that he had been
18	chosen by God to oppose, and
19	God showed him the spiritual
20	battle with that evil.
21	Armstrong believes that God
22	showed him that persecution
23	must be endured for His Cause,
24	and God assured Armstrong that
25	He would never leave him. God
26	showed Armstrong the souls he
27	fought for, and why God chose
28	him to fight through all those
	years. Armstrong believes
- 11	

1	that God brought him to
2	surrender his battle to Him
3	that His Will be done, for
4	unless God does it Armstrong
5	hasn't got a prayer.
6	Armstrong believes that he
7	will run whatever race God
8	calls him to run as fast and
9	as far as God moves him.
10	Armstrong believes that
11	sometimes God will appear to
12	lose the race on earth to win
13	it in Heaven.
14	
15	ISSUE X

16

17

18

19

20

21

Armstrong's Claim: All of Armstrong's activities which Scientology claims are violations of the subject agreement are religiously motivated and completely protected by the First Amendment, and the Religious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993.

Armstrong incorporates herein his Disputed Facts, Additional Facts and Evidentiary Support Nos. 1-136, supra.

22

137	Armstrong	is	a	Christian.
12/0	AT III S CT OILU	13	a	CIII I D C I GII o

24

23

25

26

27

28

137. Ex. 1, 1:22; 1:23-30:31;

Ex. 1(H), pp. 30-32, ¶ 25, p.

42, ¶ 33, pp. 52, ¶ 43; Ex.

1(J), 1:18-28, 3:14-27; Ex.

1(J)(C), Declaration of

Michael Rinder executed

October 27, 1994, and filed in

25

26

27

28

138. Armstrong believes that his life, in every moment and breath, is God's and in God's Hands. Armstrong believes that he has been saved from eternal separation and hell to become a son of God by God's Grace alone, and drawn by God to trust and follow His Son Jesus Christ as his Lord and Savior. Armstrong believes he Page 119.

Scientology v. Steven Fishman & Uwe Geertz, US District Court for the Central District of California No. 91-6426-HLH (Tx), at 11:9-11; Scientology's Evidence, Ex. 1Q, letter of Gerald Armstrong to David Miscavige dated December 22, 1992, at p. 9; Scientology's Evidence, Ex. 10, letter of Gerald Armstrong to Eric Lieberman dated June 21, 1991; Scientology's Evidence, Ex. 1N, Declaration of Gerald Armstrong executed July, 1991, at pp. 7-9, ¶¶ 6,7.

138. Ex. 1, 1:24-2:4.

1	
1	has been filled with God's
2	Holy Spirit, and given by Him
3	all peace, wisdom and love.
4	Armstrong believes that he has
5	been saved for God's Purposes
6	to His Glory. Armstrong
7	believes that God's Purpose
8	for him and all the world is
9	salvation. Armstrong believes
10	that the only difference of
11	any meaning at any time
12	between him and anyone else on
13	earth is this belief in God's
14	Plan for salvation.
- 1	
15	
15 16	139. Armstrong believes that 139. Ex. 1, 2:5-10.
	139. Armstrong believes that 139. Ex. 1, 2:5-10. as a Christian and as a son of
16	
16 17	as a Christian and as a son of
16 17 18	as a Christian and as a son of God he has been led and will
16 17 18 19	as a Christian and as a son of  God he has been led and will  be led into all of his life's
16 17 18 19 20	as a Christian and as a son of  God he has been led and will  be led into all of his life's  situations and to all of the
16 17 18 19 20 21	as a Christian and as a son of  God he has been led and will  be led into all of his life's  situations and to all of the  people he has encountered and
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	as a Christian and as a son of  God he has been led and will  be led into all of his life's  situations and to all of the  people he has encountered and  will encounter for God's
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	as a Christian and as a son of  God he has been led and will  be led into all of his life's  situations and to all of the  people he has encountered and  will encounter for God's  Purposes alone. Armstrong
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	as a Christian and as a son of God he has been led and will be led into all of his life's situations and to all of the people he has encountered and will encounter for God's Purposes alone. Armstrong believes that from God come
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	as a Christian and as a son of God he has been led and will be led into all of his life's situations and to all of the people he has encountered and will encounter for God's Purposes alone. Armstrong believes that from God come all things, including the
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	as a Christian and as a son of God he has been led and will be led into all of his life's situations and to all of the people he has encountered and will encounter for God's Purposes alone. Armstrong believes that from God come all things, including the trust in Him, the willingness

1	140. Scientology professes in	140. Ex. 1, 2:22-28, 25:15-23;
2	its public promotions and	Ex. 2, 12:23-13:15, 15:5-8;
3	publications to be compatible	Ex. 5, 2:4-8; Ex. 6, 3:17-20.
4	with Christianity.	
5		
6	141. Scientology states in its	141. Ex. 1, 25:16-23.
7	"catechism," published in 1992	
8	in its promotional book What	
9	is Scientology?,	
10	"Scientologists	
11	hold the Bible as a	
12	holy work and have	
13	no argument with the	
14	Christian belief	
15	that Jesus Christ	
16	was the Savior of	
17	Mankind and the Son	
18	of God¶There are	
19	probably many types	
20	of redemption. That	
21	of Christ was to	
22	heaven."	
23		
24	142. In fact, however,	142. Ex. 1, 25:15-26:24; Ex.
25	Scientology is anti-Christian.	1(J), 2:1-23; Ex. 2, 13:16-
26		16:21; Ex. 3, 1:19-2:16; Ex.
27		4, 1:19-2:10; Ex. 4(A); Ex. 6,
1		

3:20-4:2.

1	143. Once initiated into	143. Ex. 1, 25:24-26:24; Ex.
2	Scientology, people are	2, 13:16-16:21; Ex. 2(B),
3	secretly taught, and must	"Routine 3 Heaven" Scientology
4	believe, that Christ, God and	Bulletin by L. Ron Hubbard
5	Heaven are false ideas	dated May 11, 1963; Ex. 2(C),
6	"implanted" in humans by	"Resistive Cases Former
7	electronic means to enslave	Therapy" Scientology Bulletin
8	them.	by L. Ron Hubbard dated
9		September 23, 1968; Ex. 3,
10		1:19-2:15; Ex. 3(A); Ex. 3(B);
11		Ex. 4(A); Ex. 1(J)(A),
12		"Operating Thetan Section
13		III," by L. Ron Hubbard
14		(Handwritten and Typed
15		Versions) at Bates stamped
16	•	pages 700684, 700707.
17		
18	144. Scientology secretly	144. Ex. 1, 25:24-26:24; Ex.
19	teaches its initiated	2, 13:16-16:21; Ex. 2(B); Ex.
20	adherents that its "auditing"	2(C); Ex. 3, 1:19-2:15; Ex.
21	procedures are the only way to	3(A); Ex. 3(B); Ex. 4(A).
22	free mankind from "Christian"	
23	slavery and the "Creator of	
24	Heaven."	
25		
26	145. The main target of	145. Ex. 1, 26:2-8.
27	Scientology's promotion and	
28	marketing are Christians. The	

largest percentage of

	II .	
1	Scientology's members come	
2	from Christian backgrounds.	
3	The second largest percentage	
4	comes from Judaism.	
5	5	
6	146. Scientology enforces the	146. Ex. 1, 26:8-24; Ex. 2,
7	acceptance of its teachings	15:4-11; Ex. 3, 2:5-16.
8	that Christ, God and Heaven	
9	are false "implanted" ideas	
10	with Scientology's system of	
11	"ethics" punishments, its	
12	"auditing procedures," and its	
13	institutionalized mockery of	
14	God and Christ. Anyone in	
15	Scientology who professed a	
16	belief in Christ, or God, or	
17	who sought help through	
18	prayer, was viewed and handled	
19	as a "psychotic."	
20		
21	147. Jesus states at Mark	147. Ex. 1, 26:25-27:5.
22	3:28,29:	
23	"28 Verily I	
24	say unto you. All	
25	sins shall be	
26	forgiven unto the	
27	sons of men, and	
28	blasphemies	
	wherewith soever	

1	they shall
2	blaspheme:
3	29 But he
4	that shall blaspheme
5	against the Holy
6	Ghost hath never
7	forgiveness, but is
8	in danger of eternal
9	damnation."
10	
11	148. Armstrong believes that 148. Ex. 1, 27:6-8.
12	when Hubbard asserts that
13	Christ and God are "implants,"
14	he blasphemes the Holy Spirit,
15	the one unforgivable sin.
16	
17	149. Armstrong believes that 149. Ex. 1, 27:8-9.
18	people drawn into Scientology
19	and brought to adopt this
20	blasphemy are in grave
21	spiritual danger.
22	
23	150. Armstrong believes that 150. Ex. 1, 3:24-4:7.
24	Scientology is the clever
25	human invention of a clever
26	human who took his human
27	cleverness as far as it would
28	go for his own glorification.
	Armstrong believes that God

1	used Armstrong to accomplish
2	part of God's Plan for '
3	Scientology, Scientologists
4	and salvation. Armstrong
5	believes that it took someone
6	with a God-given history,
7	character and skills such as
8	He gave Armstrong to bring out
9	of Scientology, to the light,
10	and to the minds and hearts of
11	all those whom God sent to
12	listen, a testimony of the
13	character of Scientology's
14	product and "source."
15	Armstrong believes that God
16	continues to use him to
17	reflect the unworthiness and
18	bankruptcy of Hubbard's
19	attempt to create his own
20	salvation plan, against the
21	infallibility and peaceful
22	grandeur of God's Plan.
23	
24	151. Margery Wakefield, who 151. Ex. 4, 1:19-2:24.
25	also signed a "Flynn
26	agreement," believes that she
27	is saved by the Grace of God
28	through her faith in His Son
	Jesus Christ. She believes
- 1	

1	that she was called to speak
2	out concerning the illegal
3	practices of Scientology, its
4	mind control techniques, and
5	its anti-Christian nature and
6	teachings. Ms. Wakefield
7	recently wrote an essay
8	entitled "What Christians Need
9	To Know About Scientology."
10	She has been motivated in
11	speaking her thoughts based on
12	her knowledge and experiences
13	by the desire to reach the
14	minds of people who are in
15	Scientology and held by its
16	anti-Christian mind control
17	and pseudo-scientific dogma,
18	and the minds of people who
19	might be drawn into
20	Scientology by its
21	misrepresentations concerning
22	its intentions, practices and
23	religion. She believes that
24	it is every Christian's
25	motivation and desire to reach
26	the unsaved with the message
27	of the true gospel and a
28	warning about false teachers
	like L. Ron Hubbard and false

1	gospels like Scientology. She
2	has felt that the right to
3	speak and teach in this way is
4	something that no court in
5	this country should nor can
6	take away. Ms. Wakefield
7	believes that under the US
8	Constitution she is free to
9	speak and cannot contract away
10	her right to speak about those .
11	Scientology's "religious"
12	scriptures, practices and
13	experiences. She believes
14	that what she experienced in
15	Scientology was her own
16	religious experiences, and
17	what she experienced regarding
18	Scientology after leaving are
19	her own religious experiences,
20	about which she cannot be
21	silenced.
22	
23	152. Keith Scott has a 152. Ex. 5, 1:12-2:16.
24	Christian ministry called the
25	Cults Awareness Ministry. A
26	vital aspect of his ministry
27	is to offer advice born of
28	experience to people who are
	going in or thinking about
- 1	

Page 127.

coming out of Scientology. 2 does this work from a 3 Christian perspective, using the strength and truths he has 4 5 gained through his faith in Jesus Christ as his Lord and 6 7 Savior. Mr. Scott exposes the untruths of Scientology and 8 9 explains the dangers to the 10 spiritual well-being and 11 future of people who follow those untruths rather than the 12 truths of the Word of God. Mr. 13 Scott believes that he was 14 15 saved from the manipulation 16 and mind control of 17 Scientology by the Grace of God recognized through his 18 faith in His Son, Jesus 19 Christ. 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

153. Other knowledgeable people use the information about Hubbard, his philosophy and practices which Armstrong brought to the light, to educate and free the misinformed.

153. Ex. 2, 8:27-9:20; pp. 8,9, ¶¶ 14,15; Ex. 3, 3:20-4:8; Ex. 5, 1:4-2:4; Ex. 6, 4:3-6; Ex. 7, pp. 1,3, ¶¶ 6,13; Ex. 9, 4:4-5:13.

1	154. Armstrong believes that	154. Ex. 1, 29:13-19.
2	as Christ taught, and as a	
3	child of God, Armstrong's	
4	practice is forgiveness.	
5	Armstrong believes that as all	
6	that he has done has by Christ	
7	been forgiven, Armstrong has	
8	forgiven everything anyone has	
9	ever done to me, every act or	
10	thought of persecution.	
11	Armstrong believes that what	
12	he cannot forgive, however,	
13	for he has not the power to	
14	forgive it, is Hubbard's,	
15	Scientology's leaders'	
16	Scientologist's and anyone	
17	else's blasphemy of God's Holy	
18	Spirit.	
19		
20	155. Armstrong believes that	155. Ex. 1, 29:20-30:23.
21	Scientologists will not	
22	recognize their need for	
23	forgiveness as long as they	
24	blaspheme the Holy Spirit, and	
25	they will persecute Armstrong	
26	as long as they commit and	
27	promote this blasphemy.	
28	Armstrong asks them to stop.	
	Armstrong believes that when	
	Page 129.	ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY :

ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

1	Scientology persecutes the
2	"little ones," those who are
3	the least among us, those whom
4	Scientology's leaders call
5	"suppressive persons," "PTSes"
6	or "degraded beings"
7	Scientology persecutes Christ
8	Himself. Armstrong asks them
9	to stop this practice as well.
10	Armstrong believes that God
11	for His Purposes chose
12	Armstrong to be persecuted;
13	and to care and hurt when the
14	little ones are persecuted.
15	Armstrong cares what
16	Scientology does to him
17	because he believes
18	Scientology is doing it and
19	will do it to anyone else.
20	Armstrong believes that is to
21	all of these who are
22	persecuted, and to all those
23	in Scientology, that God has
24	sent him. Armstrong believes
25	that we are in the end times,
26	and that God has sent His
27	messengers, teachers and
28	prophets onto His Elect,
	wherever they are, in whatever

1	country, city, prison, church
2	or cult, to gather them onto
3	Himself. Armstrong believes
4	that God chose him to be
5	persecuted by Scientology's
6	leaders, using their
7	organization's tax-exempt
8	millions, and in violation of
9	the nation's Constitution, as
10	Apostles of old were
11	persecuted, and all God's
12	Disciples have been persecuted
13	throughout history. Armstrong
14	believes that this need not
15	be, for persecution can end in
16	no time and without downside.
17	Armstrong believes,
18	nevertheless, God allows and
19	uses the persecution of His
20	Children, His Messengers,
21	Teachers and Prophets to prove
22	His great Mercy and Love and
23	the power of His marvelous
24	plan of salvation, both for
25	the persecutors and those
26	persecuted. Armstrong
27	believes that God knows which
28	souls He will reach through
	Armstrong's words, story and

persecution. Armstrong
believes that they may be few;
nevertheless, God desires that
all should be saved.

## ARMSTRONG'S ISSUE NO. XI

Armstrong's Claim: Before Armstrong made the video at the CAN Convention in which he discussed his experiences, before Armstrong communicated to Newsweek, before Armstrong was interviewed on E!TV, and before he communicated with attorney Graham Berry and anyone else about the Fishman case, Scientology had subjected him to post-"settlement "fair game," attacked him, put him in danger, and published its own version of his experiences to which he was not barred in any way from responding.

Armstrong incorporates herein his Disputed Facts, Additional Facts and Evidentiary Support Nos. 1-155, supra.

156. Every act by Armstrong which Scientology considers a breach of its "settlement contract" was precipitated by Scientology's refusal following the "settlement" to discontinue its acts of "fair game." These acts are

shocking and have caused

hurt. They involve

Armstrong extreme emotional

Scientology's publication and

156. Defendant's Evidence
Ex. 10, 9:17-10:16. Armstrong
specifically repeats and
includes herein his facts and
evidence in Nos. 85 and 87
supra.

1	international dissemination of
2	perverse and false statements
3	concerning his history in
4	Scientology and in his
5	litigation battle with
6	Scientology. Armstrong
7	believes that there can be no
8	doubt that Scientology
9	considers him "fair game,"
10	considered him "fair game"
11	after the "settlement," and
12	that he is in grave personal
13	danger. Scientology's
14	publication of perverse and
15	false statements about his
16	history and the personal
17	danger it continues to put him
18	in requires his response to
19	defend himself in every legal
20	way possible. Scientology's
21	head private investigator,
22	Eugene M. Ingram, a former
23	vice sergeant of the Los
24	Angeles Police Department,
25	reputed to have been busted
26	from the force for pandering
27	and taking payoffs from drug
28	dealers, has threatened to
	murder Armstrong, illegally

videotaped him, pressed false 1 2 criminal charges against him, and spread the false rumor 3 Armstrong has AIDS. To defend 4 5 himself and others Armstrong believes he must be able to 6 7 speak freely, write freely and 8 meet freely with people who are likewise Scientology's 9 "fair game" targets. 10 11 Scientology attacks Armstrong 12 church and religion (Christianity), and lies 13 publicly about its 14 15 relationship to his church and 16 religion, and for those 17 reasons, even if Scientology had not attacked him 18 19 personally and had not 20 threatened his life, Armstrong believes he must speak out 21 against its antireligious 22 nature. Armstrong believes 23 24 that no court under this country's Constitution, can 25 26 legally order him to not 27 oppose and expose Scientology's anti-Christian 28 writings and nature.

Dated: September 17, 1995

Respectfully submitted.

Gerald Armstrong